## Multiple-Instance Learning via Embedded Instance Selection

Yixin Chen Department of Computer Science University of New Orleans http://www.cs.uno.edu/~yixin



## Outline

#### An overview

MIL via embedded instance selection

#### Applications

- Drug activity prediction
- Human histological image classification

#### Discussions



## Supervised Learning

Fixed-length vector of attribute values

(usually called a "feature vector")

$$Sample_i, Result, Process Result_i$$

#### Result = f(Sample)

Classification problem: if *Result* is discrete or categorical

Regression problem: if *Result* is continuous



## Multiple-Instance Learning Problem





## **Drug Activity Prediction**

- Bægdiatn**wollett**udea
- Frestdidete alsugape
   Frestdidete alsugape
   Fredidete alsugape
  - protein Whether a molecule
- Binding strongthis
   Binding strong
   Binding stron



Different conformations a Butane molecule  $(C_4H_{10})$  can take on. The molecule can rotate about the bond between the two central carbon atoms. (© 1998 by Oded Maron)



## **Object Recognition**





#### A bag is positive if and only if it contains at least one positive instance

<sup>x</sup>Atxis-Parallel Rectangles Algorithm (APR) [Dietterich, et al., AI 1997]



 But there may not exist an APR that contains at least one instance from each positive bag and no instance from any negative bags



Diverse Density Algorithm (DD) [Maron and Lozano-Pérez, NIPS 1998]



- The diverse density at a location is high if the location is close to instances from different positive bags and is far way from all instances in negative bags
- Searching for an "axis-parallel ellipse" with high diverse density
  - Sensitive to noise
    - High computational cost



EM-DD Algorithm [Zhang and Goldman, NIPS 2001]



- The diverse density is approximated by the "most likely" instance in each bag
- Finding an "axis-parallel ellipse" with high diverse density
- Sensitive to noise
- Cannot learn complex concepts



DD-SVM Algorithm [Chen and Wang, JMLR 2004]





## Outline

#### An overview

#### MIL via embedded instance selection



## Motivation



$$N_1 \sim \mathcal{N}\left([5,5]^T,I\right)$$

$$N_2 \sim \mathcal{N}\left([5,-5]^T,I\right)$$

$$N_3 \sim \mathcal{N}\left([-5,5]^T,I\right)$$

$$N_4 \sim \mathcal{N}\left([-5,-5]^T,I\right)$$

$$N_5 \sim \mathcal{N}\left([0,0]^T,I\right)$$

A bag is positive if it contains instances from at least two different distributions among  $N_1$ ,  $N_2$ , and  $N_3$ 

$$egin{aligned} &(\mathbf{x}^k, \mathbf{B}_i) = \ && \max_j \; \exp\left(-rac{\|\mathbf{x}_{ij} - \mathbf{x}^k\|^2}{\sigma^2}
ight) \end{aligned}$$



## Motivation





# MILES: Multiple-Instance Learning via Embedded Instance Selection

- Instance-based feature mapping  $s(\mathbf{x}^k, \mathbf{B}_i) = \max_j \exp\left(-\frac{\|\mathbf{x}_{ij} - \mathbf{x}^k\|^2}{\sigma^2}\right)$  $\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{B}_i) = \left[s(\mathbf{x}^1, \mathbf{B}_i), s(\mathbf{x}^2, \mathbf{B}_i), \cdots, s(\mathbf{x}^n, \mathbf{B}_i)\right]^T$
- Joint feature selection and classification  $y = \operatorname{sign} (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{m} + b)$

Minimizing a regularized training error

 $\lambda P[\cdot] + er\underline{r}or$ 

1-norm of w Hinge loss function

1-norm SVM

## Outline

#### An overview

- MIL via embedded instance selection
- Applications
  - Drug activity prediction
  - Human histological image classification



## **Drug Activity Prediction**

- MUSK1 and MUSK2 benchmark data sets
  - A bag represents a molecule
  - An instance represents a low-energy conformation of the molecule (166 features)

	# of bags	# of instances/ bag	# of positive bags
Musk 1	92	5.17	47
Musk 2	102	64.69	39



## **Prediction Accuracy**

Algorithms	MUSK1	MUSK2	Type of Testing
MILES	86.3:[84.9, 87.7]	87.7:[86.3, 89.1]	10-fold cross-validation
	87.0	93.1	Leave-one-out test
APR [18]	92.4	89.2	10-fold cross-validation
Bagging-APR [57]	92.8	93.1	10-fold cross-validation
Bayesian-kNN [49]	90.2	82.4	Leave-one-out Test
Citation-kNN [49]	92.4	86.3	Leave-one-out Test
DD [33]	88.9	82.5	10-fold cross-validation
DD-SVM [16]	85.8	91.3	10-fold cross-validation
EM-DD [56]	84.8	84.9	10-fold cross-validation
mi-SVM [2]	87.4	83.6	10-fold cross-validation
MI-SVM [2]	77.9	84.3	10-fold cross-validation
MI-NN [42]	88.0	82.0	10-fold cross-validation
Multinst [4]	76.7:[73.6,79.8]	84.0 : [81.4, 86.6]	10-fold cross-validation
RELIC [44]	83.7	87.3	10-fold cross-validation



## **Computation Time**

#### Training time

- SunFire V800z, Solaris, P4 1.9GHz CPU
- 10 fold cross-validation
- MILES: 6 seconds (MUSK1), 72 seconds (MUSK2)
- DD-SVM: 500 minutes (MUSK1), 1500 minutes (MUSK2)



## Histological Image Classification

- Why do we choose histological images
- Automatic interpretation of histological images





### Overview





#### What features to look for?





Arizona State University, 4/28/2006

#### Gabor filter bank













#### Color or grayscale?





#### • Texture inhomogeneity







## **Experimental Evaluation**

H&E	stained,	40x
	•	

- 3112 images
- Size 1536x1920
- Block size 64x64
   720 blocks

• Gabor filter bank

Category ID	Category Name	Number of Images
C1	Adrenals	100
C2	Heart	465
C3	Kidney	80
C4	Liver	428
C5	Lung	1152
C6	Pancreas	480
C7	Spleen	72
C8	Testis	100
C9	Thyroid	156
C10	Uterus	88



## **Multiple-Instance Problem**





## Performance of MILES

- 5-fold cross validation
  - MILES: 77.8%
  - A simple generative model: 71.5%
- Training time
  - SunFire V800z, Solaris, P4 1.9GHz CPU
  - Generative model: ≈ 5~6 hours per class
  - MILES: ≈ 0.5 hour per class



## Outline

#### An overview

MIL via embedded instance selection

#### Applications

- Drug activity prediction
- Human histological image classification

#### Discussions







- Bag generators
  - Affine invariant regions
  - Image segmentation









• Storage requirement

• A data matrix of size  $(\ell^+ + \ell^-) \times n$ 

Sparseness

# MIL in a 1-class setting Protein interaction inference



## Supported by

- Louisiana Board of Regents RCS Grant
- NSF EPSCoR Pilot Fund
- The Research Institute for Children
- University of New Orleans



## Acknowledgement

- Hernan Correa, LSUHSC
- Dehua Zhao, University of New Orleans
- Jinbo Bi, Siemens Medical Solutions
- James Z. Wang, The Pennsylvania State University
- Ya Zhang, The University of Kansas



## More Information

## Papers in PDF, demonstrations, data sets, etc.

http://www.cs.uno.edu/~yixin

yixin@cs.uno.edu

