# CSCI 300: Social Responsibility in Computer Science
## Term Paper Review Form

**Note to reviewer:** Do not put your name on this form. Bring two copies of the form (with the critique attached) and the edited paper to class on Friday, 10 November. Please be honest in your evaluation. The content of the evaluation will affect the person's grade very little, but it will definitely affect yours. Be tactful and constructive.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author of Paper:</th>
<th>Title of Paper:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please rate each of the following items on a scale of 1 to 10. Let 1 represent Poor (or Strongly Disagree) and 10 represent Excellent (or Strongly Agree).

### Organization
- The paper includes a title page, a signed honor code statement, an outline, the text, and a bibliography.
- The outline is complete, consistent with the paper's text, appropriately worded and formatted, and at an appropriate level of abstraction.
- The introductory section of the text motivates the rest of the paper and gives appropriate background information.
- The transitions between sections are smooth and continuous.
- The paper ends reasonably.

### Content
- The scope and level of detail are appropriate for a paper of this length.
- Terms and acronyms are defined before they are used.
- Figures are used well (not overused); they are clear and explained in the text.
- The bibliographic entries are appropriately chosen; the bibliography is in the proper format.
- Citations are appropriate, correct, and consistent.
- Verbatim inclusions of text are indicated appropriately as quotations.
- The paper presents alternative points of view of the issues.

### Miscellaneous
- The physical layout is pleasing.
- The pages are numbered correctly; figures and tables are appropriately labeled.
- The grammar is correct.
- The document contains no spelling errors.
- The paper is of required length (7-10 pages double-spaced, not including title page, outline, bibliography, etc).

### Overall
- Overall impression of the paper.

Attach a two-page (typed) critique of the paper. In this critique, address what are, in your opinion, the strong points and the weak points of the paper. Indicate areas you believe can be improved. You should elaborate on answers to the above questions, especially where a low score has been given. Be constructive.