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Plain Text Specification Notation

Chapter Introduction

This chapter informally defines the mathematical and logical notation we use to express the semantics of program units such as functions, procedures, methods, modules, and classes. We use it to specify abstract models, invariants,
preconditions, and postconditions. We adopt a plain-text notation that can be typed as comments in program source code, not the notation that would be typeset in a mathematics or logic textbook. The logic notation follows that popularized by David Gries [9,10], Edsger Dijkstra [7,8], and others [3–5,12,14] in the formal methods community. We assume that the readers of our specifications are familiar with basic mathematical concepts such as logical connectives, sets, relations, functions, etc. The primary purpose of this chapter is to introduce the plain-text notation.

**Program States and Specification**

The *state* of a program is a mapping of all the *variables* to their respective values. Here we use the term “variable” flexibly to enable this notation to be used for a variety of languages and circumstances. It includes all the program’s named constants, variables, parameters, and types and perhaps other entities such as the program counter(s), input/output channels, and program metadata.

A *state space* for a program is the set of all possible states. If $E$ is an expression defined in some state space, $x$ is a variable, and $v$ is a possible value for that variable, then the notation $E(x := v)$ denotes the expression $E$ where all occurrences of the variable $x$ are replaced by the value $v$. Similarly, the notation $E(x,y := u,v)$ denotes the expression $E$ where all occurrences of the variables $x$ and $y$ are simultaneously replaced by the values $u$ and $v$, respectively.

To *evaluate an expression in a state* means to replace all the variables in the expression by their respective values in that state and then compute the resulting value.

In specifying computer programs, we use *predicates* (i.e., logical expressions) to assert that a condition must hold at all points in the program’s state space.

Consider a function in a programming language. The functions precondition predicate asserts what must be true about the program’s state and the values of the function’s arguments at a program location where the function is called. Note that a precondition implicitly includes an assertion about value of the program counter. Similarly, the function’s postcondition predicate asserts what must be true about the program’s state and the function’s return value at a program location where the function returns.

**Predicate Logic**

A predicate is an expression that evaluates to either the Boolean value *true* or the Boolean value *false* at a point in the state space in which predicate is well-defined. In the following, we informally describe the syntax and semantics of predicates.

- The primitive predicates include *true* and *false*. 
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Predicates true and false evaluate to true and false, respectively, in all points of the state space.

- The primitive predicates also include the usual arithmetic relational expressions from mathematics and the computer programming language. These expressions evaluate to true or false according to their usual meanings at all points of the state space in which they are well defined.

  Note: We use the operators == and != for equality and inequality. Similarly, we use <, <=, >, and => for the ordered relational operator. (However, in some cases it is better to use whatever operator symbols are used in the programming language.)

  Note: To specify computer programs, it is necessary and useful to include common operations from mathematics and the programming language. However, these must be pure expressions, without side effects.

- The primitive predicates can also include Boolean functions defined in the the programming language, its runtime library, or elsewhere in the program being specified.

  These expressions evaluate to true or false according to their usual meanings at all points of the state space in which they are well defined.

  Note: We can also use functions that return other types as components of relational expressions.

- If P is a predicate, then NOT P is a predicate.

  NOT P is the logical negation of P. The predicate NOT P evaluates to true in any state in which P evaluates to false and evaluates to false in any state in which P evaluates to true.

- If P and Q are predicates, then P && Q, P OR Q, P <=> Q, and P => Q are also predicates.

  - P && Q is logical conjunction (AND). The predicate P && Q is true at every point of the state space where both P and Q are true; it is false elsewhere.

  - P OR Q is logical disjunction. The predicate P OR Q is false at every point of the state space where both P and Q are false; it is true elsewhere.

  - P <=> Q is logical equivalence. The predicate P <=> Q is true at every point of the state space where the values of P and Q are equal; it is false elsewhere.

  - P => Q is implication. The predicate P => Q is false at every point of the state space where P is true and Q is false; it is true elsewhere.
• If P, Q, and R are predicates, then \( \text{if } P \text{ then } Q \text{ else } R \) is a predicate.

The predicate \( \text{if } P \text{ then } Q \text{ else } R \) is equivalent to
\begin{equation}
(p \Rightarrow q) \land \neg (p \Rightarrow r).
\end{equation}

• If R and T are predicates and x is a variable, then \( \text{ForAll } x : R :: T \) is a predicate. In quantified expressions like this one:
  – x is a distinct new variable (called a *dummy variable*) whose scope is between the parentheses
  – R is the *range*
  – T is the *term*

\( \text{ForAll } x : R :: T \) is a *universal quantification*; it is essentially a generalized variant of logical conjunction (AND). In more formal logical notation, we normally replace the plain-text symbol \text{ForAll} by \( \forall \).

The predicate \( \text{ForAll } x : R :: T \) is *true* at every point of the state space in which, for any value \( v \), \( R(x := v) \Rightarrow T(x := v) \) is *true*; it is *false* elsewhere.

We can extend this notation to quantify over several variables such as in
\( \text{ForAll } x, y, z : R :: T \). In this case, we consider
\begin{equation}
R(x,y,z := u,v,w) \Rightarrow T(x,y,z := u,v,w)
\end{equation}
for all distinct tuples of values \( (x,y,z) \).

If R is *true*, we can omit the range from the expression and just write
\( \text{ForAll } x,y,z :: T \).

Note: We can also extend the other quantification expressions below to allow multiple variables and omission of a *true* domain predicate R.

• If R and T are predicates and x is a variable, then \( \text{Exists } x : R :: T \) is a predicate.

\( \text{Exists } x : R :: T \) is an *existential quantification*; it is essentially a generalized variant of logical disjunction (OR). In more formal logical notation, we normally replace the plain-text symbol \text{Exists} by \( \exists \).

The predicate \( \text{Exists } x : R :: T \) is *true* at every point of the state space in which, for some value \( v \), \( R(x := v) \land T(x := v) \) is *true*; it is *false* elsewhere.

**Other Quantifications**

In addition to the logical quantification above, we can also use quantified expressions that involve other operators and yield other types of values (e.g., integers).

If \( \text{OP} \) is a binary operator on some type that is *associative* and *commutative* (or symmetric) and has an *identity element*, then we can readily define a quantification \( \text{OP } x : R :: T \) \cite{10}. 
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\[ \text{ForAll } x, y, z : R :: T \]
\[ \text{Exists } x : R :: T \]
To evaluate the quantification \((\text{OP} \ x : \ R :: \ T)\), we:

a. generate a “list” containing the values of term expression \(T(x := v)\) for each value \(v\) of the dummy variable \(x\) that satisfies the range predicate \(R(x := v)\)

b. insert the associative and commutative binary operator \(\text{OP}\) between adjacent elements of the list

c. compute the value of the generated expression

If the range is empty (i.e., there are no values of \(x\) for which \(R\) is true), then the value of the quantification is the identity element for binary operator \(\text{OP}\).

Thus, in addition to universal and existential quantification discussed in the previous section, we can define the following common arithmetic quantifications according to above general rules:

- **Summation.** \((+ \ x : \ R :: \ T)\) yields, for all values \(v\) of the same type as \(T\) (e.g., integers), the sum of all values \(T(x := v)\) for which predicate \(R(x := v)\) is true. If the range is empty, then the value of the quantification is 0.

  Note: In mathematics, the symbol \(\Sigma\) is often used to denote summation.

- **Product.** \((\ast \ x : \ R :: \ T)\) yields, for all values \(v\) of the compatible type (e.g., integers), the product of all values \(T(x := v)\) for which predicate \(R(x := v)\) is true. If the range is empty, then the value of the quantification is 1.

  Note: In mathematics, the symbol \(\Pi\) is often used to denote summation.

In addition, we can define **numerical quantification** expressions as follows:

- \((\# \ x : \ R :: \ T)\) yields the value \((+ \ x : \ R \ \text{AND} \ T :: 1)\), a count of all distinct values of \(x\) for which logical predicate \(R \ \text{AND} \ T\) is true. If the range is empty, then the value of the quantification is 0.

Consider the binary operators \(\text{min}\) and \(\text{max}\). They are associative and commutative.

On the one hand, if we consider any set of values that is bounded above by a maximum value, then the maximum value is the identity element for the \(\text{min}\) operation on that set. Similarly, if we consider any set of values that is bounded below by a minimum value, then the minimum value is the identity element for the \(\text{max}\) operation on that set.

On the other hand, if we consider any set of values that is unbounded above (e.g., the positive integers), then \(\text{min}\) does not have an identity element within the set. Similarly, if we consider any set of values that is unbounded below (e.g., the negative integers), then \(\text{max}\) does not have an identity element within the set. We could, however, assign some special values such as \(+\infty\) as the identity element for \(\text{min}\) and \(−\infty\) as the identity element for \(\text{max}\).
Thus, we can define two other useful quantifications:

- **Minimization.** \( \text{Min} \ x : R :: T \) yields, for all values \( v \) of the same type as \( T \) (e.g., integers), the minimum of all values \( T(x := v) \) for which predicate \( R(x := v) \) is true.

  If restrict the type of \( T \) to be the integers, then, for an empty range, the value of the quantification is \( +\infty \), which we can write as \POS_INF\.

- **Maximization.** \( \text{Max} \ x : R :: T \) yields, for all values \( v \) of the same type as \( T \) (e.g., integers), the maximum of all values \( T(x := v) \) for which predicate \( R(x := v) \) is true.

  If restrict the type of \( T \) to be the integers, then, for an empty range, the value of the quantification is \( -\infty \), which we can write as \NEG_INF\.

Note: Given that it may be impossible to represent \POS_INF\ and \NEG_INF\ in a program, in most circumstances it is best to avoid use of minimimization and maximization in specifying computer programs.

**Sets**

A *set* is an unordered collection of elements without duplicates.

- Operator \IN\ denotes *set membership*. For any set \( C \) and value \( v \), predicate \( v \IN C \) is true if and only if \( v \) is an element in set \( C \). Similarly, \( v \NOT\IN C \) denotes \( \text{NOT} (v \IN C) \).

- A programming language *type* consists of a set of values and a set of operations. We sometimes say a value is \IN\ a type to mean the value is an element of the set associated with the type.

- Operator \CARD\ denotes *set cardinality*. For any set \( C \), function \CARD(C)\ yields the number of elements in the set \( C \).

- Binary operator \SUBSET_OF\ denotes the *subset* relationship between sets. For sets \( C \) and \( D \), predicate \( C \SUBSET_OF D \) if and only if all the elements in \( C \) are also elements in \( D \).

- Binary operator \UNION\ denotes *set union*. For any sets \( C \) and \( D \), operation \( C \UNION D \) yields the set consisting of all the elements in \( C \) and in \( D \), including those in both \( C \) and \( D \).

- Binary operator \INTERSECT\ denotes *set intersection*. For any sets \( C \) and \( D \), operation \( C \INTERSECT D \) yields the set consisting only of the elements that are in both \( C \) and \( D \).

- Binary operator \DIFF\ denotes *set difference*. For any sets \( C \) and \( D \), operation \( C \DIFF D \) yields the set \( C \) with all elements of set \( D \) removed.

- A *set comprehension* has the form \( \{ x : R :: T \} \), which has a syntax and semantics like the quantifications described above. \( x \) is a dummy
variable whose scope is inside the braces, $R$ is a range predicate, and $T$ is the term.

The set $\{ x : R :: T \}$ consists of all elements $T(x:=v)$ where $v$ ranges over all values for which $R(x:=v)$ is true.

**Relations**

- A *Cartesian product* of two sets $C$ and $D$ is the set of all ordered pairs $(x, y)$ where $x \in C$ and $y \in D$.

  We extend this concept to any finite number of sets. We also call these fixed-length ordered groupings *tuples*.

- A *relation* on sets $C$ and $D$ is a subset of the Cartesian product of $C$ and $D$. That is, a set of tuples.

- A *function* on sets $C$ and $D$ is a special case of a relation on (domain) $C$ and (range) $D$ where each element in $C$ occurs in at most one tuple in the relation.
  
  - A *total function* is a function defined for all elements in its domain.
  
  - A *partial function* is a function defined for a subset of its domain.

**Bags**

Mathematically, a *bag* (or multiset) is a function from some arbitrary set of elements (the domain) to the set of nonnegative integers (the range) [10:11.7,15,16]. We interpret the nonnegative integer as the number of occurrences of the element in the bag. Zero means the element does not occur. The number of occurrences of an element in a bag is also called its *multiplicity*.

From another perspective, a bag is an unordered collection of elements. Each element may occur one or more times in the bag. (It is like a set except values can occur multiple times.)

- The literal $\{ | \}$ denotes an *empty bag*.

- The literal $\{1, 2, 3, 2, 1 \}$ denotes a bag containing four integers including two 2's, one 3, and one 1. The order is not significant! There may be one or more occurrences of an element.

- Operator $\in$ also denotes the bag membership operation. $x \in C$ is true if and only if there are one or more occurrences of the value $x$ in bag $C$. Similarly, $x \not\in C$ denotes the negation of $x \in C$.

- Operator $\text{OCCURRENCES}$ denotes occurrence counting. For any bag $C$, $\text{OCCURRENCES}(x,C)$ yields the number of times element $x$ occurs in bag $C$ (i.e., the multiplicity of $x$.)
OCCURRENCES(1, {1, 1, 2, 1}) = 3
OCCURRENCES(3, {1, 1, 2, 1}) = 0

- Operator **CARD** denotes *cardinality*. **CARD(C)** is the total number of occurrences of all elements in bag **C**.

  \[
  \text{CARD}({1, 1, 2, 1}) = 4
  \text{CARD}({0}) = 0
  \]

- **REPEAT(e, n)** denotes a bag containing exactly **n** occurrences of **e** or an empty bag if **n < 1**.

- Binary operator **UNION** also denotes *bag union*. For any bags **C** and **D**, **C UNION D** yields the bag consisting of all elements in **C** and in **D**, or in both; the number of occurrences of an element in the union is the number in **C** or in **D**, whichever is **greater** (i.e., the maximum multiplicity).

  \[
  \{3, 1, 1, 3\} \text{ UNION } \{1, 2\} = \{1, 1, 2, 3, 3\}
  \]

- Binary operator **SUM** denotes *bag sum*. The sum of bags **C** and **D** yields the bag consisting of all elements in **C** and in **D**, or in both; the number of occurrences of an element is the sum of the occurrences in **C** and **D**.

  \[
  \{3, 1, 1, 3\} \text{ SUM } \{1, 2\} = \{1, 1, 1, 2, 3, 3\}
  \]

- Binary operator **INTERSECT** also denotes *bag intersection*. For any bags **C** and **D**, **C INTERSECT D** yields the bag consisting of all elements that occur both in **C** and in **D**; the number of occurrences of an element is the number in **C** or in **D**, whichever is **lesser** (i.e., the minimum multiplicity).

  \[
  \{3, 1, 1, 3\} \text{ INTERSECT } \{1, 2\} = \{1\}
  \]

- Operator **DIFF** also denotes *bag difference*. For any bags **C** and **D**, **C DIFF D** yields the bag consisting of all the elements of **C** that occur in **D** fewer times; the number of occurrences of an element is the number of occurrences in **C** minus the number in **D**. (If there are more occurrence in **D** than **C**, then the result has no occurrences.)

  \[
  \{1, 1, 2, 1\} \text{ DIFF } \{2, 1, 1, 3\} = \{1\}
  \]

**Sequences**

**TODO**

**What Next?**

This document defines the plain-text notation we use to specify the semantics of programs. Source code comments and other documents can refer to this chapter rather than describe the notation used.
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