# Exploring Languages with Interpreters and Functional Programming Chapter 23

# H. Conrad Cunningham

## 04 April 2022

# Contents

23 Overloading and Type Classes 2	2
23.1 Chapter Introduction	2
23.2 Polymorphism in Haskell	2
23.3 Why Overloading?	2
23.4 Defining an Equality Class and Its Instances	3
23.5 Type Class Laws 5	j
23.6 Another Example Class Visible	5
23.7 Class Extension (Inheritance) $\ldots \ldots \ldots$	3
23.8 Multiple Constraints	7
23.9 Built-In Haskell Classes	3
23.10Comparison to Other Languages	3
23.11What Next?	)
23.12Chapter Source Code	)
23.13Exercises	)
23.14Acknowledgements	)
23.15Terms and Concepts	)
23.16 References	Ĺ
Copyright (C) 2017, 2018, 2022, H. Conrad Cunningham Professor of Computer and Information Science University of Mississippi 214 Weir Hall	

P.O. Box 1848 University, MS 38677 (662) 915-7396 (dept. office)

Browser Advisory: The HTML version of this textbook requires a browser

that supports the display of MathML. A good choice as of April 2022 is a recent version of Firefox from Mozilla.

## 23 Overloading and Type Classes

#### 23.1 Chapter Introduction

Chapter 5 introduced the concept of overloading. Chapters 13 and 21 introduced the related concepts of type classes and instances.

The goals of this chapter (23) and a planned future chapter are to explore these concepts in more detail.

The concept of type class was introduced into Haskell to handle the problem of comparisons, but it has had a broader and more profound impact upon the development of the language than its original purpose. This Haskell feature has also had a significant impact upon the design of subsequent languages (e.g., Scala [12,16] and Rust [7,10,15]) and libraries.

TODO: This chapter, including the Introduction, should be revised after deciding how to handle issues such as functors, monads, etc.

#### 23.2 Polymorphism in Haskell

Chapter 5 surveyed the different kinds of polymorphism. Haskell implements two of these kinds:

1. *Parametric polymorphism* (usually just called "polymorphism" in functional languages), in which a single function definition is used for all types of arguments and results.

For example, consider the function  $length :: [a] \rightarrow Int$ , which returns the length of any finite list.

2. *Overloading*, in which the same name refers to different functions depending upon the type.

For example, consider the (+) function, which can add any supported number.

Chapter 13 examined parametric polymorphism. Chapter 21 introduced type classes briefly in the context of algebraic data types. This chapter better motives type classes and explores them more generally.

#### 23.3 Why Overloading?

Consider testing for membership in a Boolean list, where eqBool is an equality-testing function for Boolean values.

```
elemBool :: Bool -> [Bool] -> Bool
elemBool x [] = False
elemBool x (y:ys) = eqBool x y || elemBool x ys
```

We can define eqBool using pattern matching as follows:

```
eqBool :: Bool -> Bool -> Bool
eqBool True False = False
eqBool False True = False
eqBool _ _ = True
```

The above is not very general. It works for booleans, but what if we want to handle lists of integers? or of characters? or lists of lists of tuples?

The aspects of **elemBool** we need to generalize are the type of the input list and the function that does the comparison for equality.

Thus let's consider testing for membership of a general list, with the equality function as a parameter.

```
elemGen :: (a -> a -> Bool) -> a -> [a] -> Bool
elemGen eqFun x [] = False
elemGen eqFun x (y:ys) = eqFun x y || elemGen eqFun x ys
```

This allows us to define elemBool in terms of elemGen as follows:

```
elemBool :: Bool -> [Bool] -> Bool
elemBool = elemGen eqBool
```

But really the function elemGen is *too general* for the intended function. Parameter eqFun could be any

a -> a -> Bool

function, not just an equality comparison.

Another problem is that equality is a meaningless idea for some data types. For example, comparing functions for equality is a computationally intractable problem.

The alternative to the above to make (==) (i.e., equality) an overloaded function. We can then restrict the polymorphism in **elem**'s type signature to those types for which (==) is defined.

We introduce the concept of *type classes* to to be able to define the group of types for which an overloaded operator can apply.

We can then restrict the polymorphism of a type signature to a class by using a *context* constraint as  $Eq a \Rightarrow$  is used below:

elem :: Eq a => a -> [a] -> Bool

We used context constraints in previous chapters. Here we examine how to define the type classes and associate data types with those classes.

#### 23.4 Defining an Equality Class and Its Instances

We can define class Eq to be the set of types for which we define the (==) (i.e., equality) operation.

For example, we might define the **class** as follows, giving the type signature(s) of the associated function(s) (also called the operations or *methods* of the class).

```
class Eq a where
  (==) :: a -> a -> Bool
```

A type is made a member or *instance* of a class by defining the signature function(s) for the type. For example, we might define **Bool** as an **instance** of **Eq** as follows:

```
instance Eq Bool where
True == True = True
False == False = True
_ == _ = False
```

Other types, such as the primitive types **Int** and **Char**, can also be defined as instances of the class. Comparison of primitive data types will often be implemented as primitive operations built into the computer hardware.

An instance declaration can also be declared with a context constraint, such as in the equality of lists below. We define equality of a list type in terms of equality of the element type.

```
instance Eq a => Eq [a] where
[] == [] = True
(x:xs) == (y:ys) = x == y && xs == ys
_ == _ = False
```

Above, the == on the left sides of the equations is the operation being defined for lists. The x == y comparison on the right side is the previously defined operation on elements of the lists. The xs == ys on the right side is a recursive call of the equality operation for lists.

Within the class Eq, the (==) function is overloaded. The definition of (==) given for the types of its actual operands is used in evaluation.

In the Haskell standard prelude, the class definition for Eq includes both the equality and inequality functions. They may also have *default* definitions as follows:

```
class Eq a where
  (==), (/=) :: a -> a -> Bool
   -- Minimal complete definition: (==) or (/=)
   x /= y = not (x == y)
   x == y = not (x /= y)
```

In the case of class  $\mathsf{Eq}$  , inequality is defined as the negation of equality and vice versa.

An instance declaration must *override* (i.e., redefine) at least one of these functions (in order to break the circular definition), but the other function may either be left with its default definition or overridden.

#### 23.5 Type Class Laws

Of course, our expectation is that any operation (==) defined for an instance of Eq should implement an "equality" comparison. What does that mean?

In mathematics, we expect equality to be an *equivalence relation*. That is, equality comparisons should have the following properties *for all* values x, y, and z in the type's set.

- Reflexivity: x == x is True.
- Symmetry: x == y if and only if y == x.
- Transitivity: if x == y and y == z, then x == z.

In addition,  $x \neq y$  is expected to be equivalent to not (x = y) as defined in the default method definition.

Thus class Eq has these *type class laws* that every instance of the class should satisfy. The developer of the instance should ensure that the laws hold.

As in many circumstances, the reality of computing may differ a bit from the mathematical ideal. Consider Reflexivity. If x is infinite, then it may be impossible to implement x == x. Also, this property might not hold for floating point number representations.

#### 23.6 Another Example Class Visible

TODO: Perbhaps replace this example (which follows Thompson, ed. 2) with a better one.

We can define another example class **Visible**, which might denote types whose values can be displayed as strings. Method **toString** represents an element of the type as a **String**. Method **size** yields the size of the argument as an **Int**.

```
class Visible a where
   toString :: a -> String
   size :: a -> Int
```

We can make various data types instances of this class:

```
instance Visible Char where
  toString ch = [ch]
  size _ = 1
instance Visible Bool where
  toString True = "True"
  toString False = "False"
  size _ = 1
instance Visible a => Visible [a] where
  toString = concat . map toString
  size = foldr (+) 1 . map size
```

What type class laws should hold for Visible?

There are no constraints on the conversion to strings. However, **size** must return an **Int**, so the "size" of the input argument must be finite and bounded by the largest value in type **Int**.

#### 23.7 Class Extension (Inheritance)

Haskell supports the concept of *class extension*. That is, a new class can be defined that *inherits* all the operations of another class and adds additional operations.

For example, we can derive an ordering class **Ord** from the class **Eq**, perhaps as follows. (The definition in the Prelude may differ from the following.)

```
class Eq a => Ord a where
   (<), (<=), (>), (>=) :: a -> a -> Bool
   max, min
                       :: a -> a -> a
    -- Minimal complete definition: (<) or (>)
                            = x < y | | x == y
   x <= y
   х < у
                            = y > x
   x >= y
                            = x > y || x == y
   x > y
                            = y < x
                        = x
   \max x y | x \ge y
                | otherwise = y
              | \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}
   min x y
                  | otherwise = y
```

With the above, we define Ord as a subclass of Eq; Eq is a superclass of Ord.

The above default method definitions are circular: < is defined in terms of > and vice versa. So a complete definition of **Ord** requires that at least one of these be given an appropriate definition for the type. Method == must, of course, also be defined appropriately for superclass Eq.

What type class laws should apply to instances of **Ord**?

Mathematically, we expect an instance of class **Ord** to implement a *total order* on its type set. That is, given the comparison operator (i.e., binary relation) <=, then the following properties hold *for all* values x, y, and z in the type's set.

- Reflexivity: x <= x is True.
- Antisymmetry:  $x \le y$  and  $y \le x$ , then x = y.
- Transitivity: if  $x \le y$  and  $y \le z$ , then  $x \le z$ .
- Trichotomy (comparability, totality): x <= y or y <= x.

A relation that satisfied the first three properties above is a *partial order*. The fourth property requires that all values in the type's set can be compared by  $\leq$ =.

In addition to the above laws, we expect == (and /=) to satisfy the Eq type class laws and  $\langle, \rangle, \rangle =$ , max, and min to satisfy the properties (i.e., default method

definitions) given in the class **Ord** declaration.

As an example, consider the function isort' (insertion sort), defined in a previous chapter. It uses class Ord to constrain the list argument to ordered data items.

#### 23.8 Multiple Constraints

Haskell also permits classes to be constrained by two or more other classes.

Consider the problem of sorting a list and then displaying the results as a string:

```
vSort :: (Ord a, Visible a) => [a] -> String
vSort = toString . isort'
```

To sort the elements, they need to be from an ordered type. To convert the results to a string, we need them to be from a **Visible** type.

The multiple contraints can be over two different parts of the signature of a function. Consider a program that displays the second components of tuples if the first component is equal to a given value:

```
vLookupFirst :: (Eq a,Visible b) => [(a,b)] -> a -> String
vLookupFirst xs x = toString (lookupFirst xs x)
lookupFirst :: Eq a => [ (a,b) ] -> a -> [b]
lookupFirst ws x = [ z | (y,z) <- ws, y == x ]</pre>
```

Multiple constraints can occur in an instance declaration, such as might be used in extending equality to cover pairs:

instance (Eq a,Eq b) => Eq (a,b) where (x,y) == (z,w) = x == z && y == w

Multiple constraints can also occur in the definition of a class, as might be the case in definition of an ordered visible class.

```
class (Ord a,Visible a) => OrdVis a
vSort :: OrdVis a => [a] -> String
```

The case where a class extends two or more classes, as above for **OrdVis** is called *multiple inheritance*.

Instances of class OrdVis must satisfy the type class laws for classes Ord and Visible.

### 23.9 Built-In Haskell Classes

See Section 6.3 of the Haskell 2010 Language Report [9:6.3] for discussion of the various classes in the Haskell Prelude library.

#### 23.10 Comparison to Other Languages

Let's compare Haskell concept of type class with the class concept in familiar object-oriented languages such as Java and C++.

• In Haskell, a class is a collection of types. In Java and C++, class and type are similar concepts.

For example, Java's static type system treats the collection of objects defined with a class construct as a (nominal) type. A class can be used to implement a type. However, it is possible to implement classes whose instances can behave in ways outside the discipline of the type (i.e., not satisfy the Liskov Substitution Principle [8,19]).

- Haskell classes are similar in concept to Java and C++ abstract classes except that Haskell classes have no data fields. (There is no multiple inheritance from classes in Java, of course.)
- Haskell classes are similar in concept to Java interfaces. Haskell classes can give default method definitions, a feature that was only added in Java 8 and beyond.
- Instances of Haskell classes are types, not objects. They are somewhat like concrete Java or C++ classes that extend abstract classes or concrete Java classes that implement Java interfaces.
- Haskell separates the definition of a type from the definition of the methods associated with that type. A class in Java or C++ usually defines both a data structure (the member variables) and the functions associated with the structure (the methods). In Haskell, these definitions are separated.
- The methods defined by a Haskell class correspond to the instance methods in Java or virtual functions in a C++ class. Each instance of a class provides its own definition for each method; class defaults correspond to default definitions for a virtual function in the base class. Of course, Haskell class instances do not have implicit receiver object or mutable data fields.

- Methods of Haskell classes are bound statically at compile time, not dynamically bound at runtime as in Java.
- C++ and Java attach identifying information to the runtime representation of an object. In Haskell, such information is attached logically instead of physically to values through the type system.
- Haskell does not support the C++ overloading style in which functions with different types share a common name.
- The type of a Haskell object cannot be implicitly coerced; there is no universal base class such as Java's Object which values can be projected into or out of.
- There is no access control (such as public or private class constituents) built into the Haskell class system. Instead, the module system must be used to hide or reveal components of a class. In that sense, it is similar to the object-oriented language Component Pascal [1,18] (which is a variant of Oberon-2 [11]) and to the imperative systems programming language Rust [[7]; McNamara2021; [15]].

Type classes first appeared in Haskell, but similar concepts have been implemented in more recently designed languages.

- The imperative systems programming language Rust [[7]; McNamara2021; [15] supports traits, a limited form of type classes.
- The object-functional hybrid language Scala[12,16] has implicit classes and parameters, which enable a type enrichment programming idiom similar to type classes.
- The functional language PureScript [5,13] supports Haskell-like type classes.
- The dependently typed functional language Idris [2,3] supports interfaces, which are, in some ways, a generalization of Haskell's type classes.
- Functional JavaScript libraries such as Ramda [14] have type class-like features.

#### 23.11 What Next?

This chapter (23) motivated and explored the concepts of overloading, type classes, and instances in Haskell and compared them to features in other languages.

Chapter 24 further explores the profound impact of type classes on Haskell.

#### 23.12 Chapter Source Code

The source code for this chapter is in file TypeClassMod.hs.

#### 23.13 Exercises

TODO

#### 23.14 Acknowledgements

In Spring 2017, I adapted and revised this chapter from my previous notes on this topic [4]. I based the previous notes, in part, on the presentations in:

- Chapter 12 of the Second edition of Simon Thompson's textbook *Haskell:* The Craft of Functional Programming [17]
- Section 5 of A Gentle Introduction to Haskell Version 98 [6]

For new content on Haskell typeclass laws, I read the discussions of typeclass laws on:

- Typeclassopedia [26]
- StackOverflow
- Reddit

I also reviewed the mathematical definitions of equality, equivalence relations, and total orders on sites as Wolfram MathWorld [23,24,and 25] and Wikipedia [20–22].

In Summer and Fall 2017, I continued to develop this work as Chapter 9, Overloading and Type Classes, of my 2017 Haskell-based programming languages textbook.

In Summer 2018, I divided the Overloading and Type Classes chapter into two chapters in the 2018 version of the textbook, now titled *Exploring Languages with Interpreters and Functional Programming*. Most of the existing content became Chapter 23, Overloading and Type Classes. I moved the planned content on advanced type class topics (functors, monads) to a planned future chaper.

I retired from the full-time faculty in May 2019. As one of my post-retirement projects, I am continuing work on this textbook. In January 2022, I began refining the existing content, integrating additional separately developed materials, reformatting the document (e.g., using CSS), constructing a bibliography (e.g., using citeproc), and improving the build workflow and use of Pandoc.

I maintain this chapter as text in Pandoc's dialect of Markdown using embedded LaTeX markup for the mathematical formulas and then translate the document to HTML, PDF, and other forms as needed.

#### 23.15 Terms and Concepts

Polymorphism in Haskell (parametric polymorphism, overloading); Haskell type system concepts (type classes, overloading, instances, signatures, methods, default definitions, context constraints, class extension, inheritance, subclass,

superclass, overriding, multiple inheritance, class laws) versus related Java/C++ type system concepts (abstract and concrete classes, objects, inheritance, interfaces); mathematical concepts (equivalence relation, reflexivity, symmetry, antisymmetry, transitivity, trichotomy, total and partial orders).

#### **23.16** References

- [1] BlackBox Framework Center. 2022. What is BlackBox/Component Pascal? Retrieved from https://blackboxframework.org/
- [2] Edwin Brady. 2017. Type-driven development with Idris. Manning, Shelter Island, New York, USA.
- [3] Edwin Brady. 2022. Idris: A language for type-driven development. Retrieved from https://www.idris-lang.org
- [4] H. Conrad Cunningham. 2014. Notes on functional programming with Haskell. University of Mississippi, Department of Computer and Information Science, University, Mississippi, USA. Retrieved from https: //john.cs.olemiss.edu/~hcc/csci450/notes/haskell\_notes.pdf
- [5] Phil Freeman. 2017. Purescript by example: Functional programming for the web. Leanpub, Victoria, British Columbia, Canada. Retrieved from https://book.purescript.org/
- [6] Paul Hudak, John Peterson, Joseph H. Fasel, and Reuben Thomas. 2000. A gentle introduction to Haskell 98. Retrieved from https://www.haskell. org/tutorial/
- [7] Steve Klabnik, Carol Nichols, and Conributers. 2019. The Rust programming language (Rust 2018th ed.). No Starch Press, San Francisco, California, USA. Retrieved from https://doc.rust-lang.org/book/
- [8] Barbara Liskov. 1987. Keynote address—Data abstraction and hierarchy. In Proceedings on object-oriented programming systems, languages, and applications (OOPSLA '87): addendum, ACM, Orlando, Florida, USA, 17–34.
- [9] Simon Marlow (Ed.). 2010. Haskell 2010 language report. Retrieved from https://www.haskell.org/definition/haskell2010.pdf
- [10] Tim McNamara. 2021. Rust in action: Systems programming concepts and techniques. Manning, Shelter Island, New York, USA.
- [11] Hanspeter Mossenbock. 1995. Object-oriented programming in Oberon-2. Springer, Berlin, Germany.
- [12] Martin Odersky, Lex Spoon, and Bill Venners. 2021. *Programming in Scala* (Fifth ed.). Artima, Inc., Walnut Creek, California, USA.
- [13] purescript.org. 2022. PureScript: A strongly-typed functional programming language that compiles to javascript. Retrieved from https: //www.purescript.org/
- [14] ramdajs.com. 2022. Ramda: A practical functional library for JavaScript programmers. Retrieved from https://ramdajs.com/

- [15] Rust Team. 2022. Rust: A language empowering everyone to build reliable and efficient software. Retrieved from https://www.rust-lang.org/
- [16] Scala Language Organization. 2022. The Scala programming language. Retrieved from https://www.scala-lang.org/
- [17] Simon Thompson. 1999. *Haskell: The craft of programming* (Second ed.). Addison-Wesley, Boston, Massachusetts, USA.
- [18] Stanley J. Warford. 2014. Computing fundamentals: The theory and practice of software design with BlackBox Component Builder. Creative Commons, Attribution-ShareAlike (CC BY-SA). Retrieved from https://cslab.pepperdine.edu/warford/ComputingFundamentals/
- [19] Wikpedia: The Free Encyclopedia. 2022. Liskov substitution principle. Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Liskov\_substitution\_principle
- [20] Wikpedia: The Free Encyclopedia. 2022. Equality (mathematics). Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Equality\_(mathematics)
- [21] Wikpedia: The Free Encyclopedia. 2022. Total order. Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Total\_order
- [22] Wikpedia: The Free Encyclopedia. 2022. Equivalence relation. Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Equivalence\_relation
- [23] Wolfram Research, Inc. 2022. Equal. Retrieved from https://mathworld. wolfram.com/Equal.html
- [24] Wolfram Research, Inc. 2022. Equivalence relation. Retrieved from https://mathworld.wolfram.com/EquivalenceRelation.html
- [25] Wolfram Research, Inc. 2022. Totally ordered set. Retrieved from https://mathworld.wolfram.com/TotallyOrderedSet.html
- Brent Yorgey. 2009. The typeclassopedia. The Monad.Reader 12, 13 (2009), 17–68. Retrieved from https://wiki.haskell.org/Typeclassopedia