# Exploring Languages with Interpreters and Functional Programming Chapter 7

# **H. Conrad Cunningham**

# **04 April 2022**

# **Contents**



Copyright (C) 2016, 2017, 2018, 2022, [H. Conrad Cunningham](https://john.cs.olemiss.edu/~hcc) Professor of [Computer and Information Science](https://www.cs.olemiss.edu)

[University of Mississippi](http://www.olemiss.edu) 214 Weir Hall P.O. Box 1848 University, MS 38677 (662) 915-7396 (dept. office)

**Browser Advisory:** The HTML version of this textbook requires a browser that supports the display of MathML. A good choice as of April 2022 is a recent version of Firefox from Mozilla.

# <span id="page-2-0"></span>**7 Data Abstraction**

# <span id="page-2-1"></span>**7.1 Chapter Introduction**

Chapter 2 introduced the concepts of procedural and data abstraction. Chapter 6 focuses on procedural abstraction and modular design and programming. This chapter focuses on data abstraction. 4 The goals of this chapter are to:

- illustrate use of data abstraction
- reinforce and extend the concepts of modular design and programming using Haskell modules

The chapter uses the development of a rational arithmetic package to illustrate data abstraction.

# <span id="page-2-2"></span>**7.2 Data Abstraction Review**

As defined in Chapter 2, *data abstraction* is the separation of the logical properties of *data* from the details of how the data are represented.

In data abstraction, programmers primarily focus on the problem's data and secondarily on its actions. Programmers first identify the key data entities and develop the programs around those and the operations needed to create and update them.

Data abstraction seeks to make a program robust with respect to change in the data.

# <span id="page-2-3"></span>**7.3 Using Data Abstraction**

As in Chapter 6, let's begin the study of this design technique with an example.

## <span id="page-2-4"></span>**7.3.1 Rational number arithmetic**

For this example, let's implement a group of Haskell functions to perform rational number arithmetic, assuming that the Haskell library does not contain such a data type. We focus first on the operations we want to perform on the data.

In mathematics we usually write rational numbers in the form  $\frac{x}{y}$  where x and y are integers and  $y \neq 0$ .

For now, let us assume we have a special type Rat to represent rational numbers and a constructor function

makeRat :: Int -> Int -> Rat

to create a Haskell rational number instance from a numerator x and a denominator y. That is, makeRat x y constructs a Haskell rational number with mathematical value  $\frac{x}{y}$ , where  $y \neq 0$ .

Let us also assume we have selector functions numer and denom with the signatures:

numer, denom :: Rat -> Int

Functions numer and denom take a valid Haskell rational number and return its numerator and denominator, respectively.

**Requirement:** For any Int values x and y where  $y \neq 0$ , there exists a Haskell rational number r such that makeRat  $x \, y == r$  and rational number values  $\frac{\text{numer } r}{\text{denom } r} = \frac{x}{y}.$ 

Note: In this example, we use fraction notation like  $\frac{x}{y}$  to denote the mathematical value of the rational number. In constrast, r above denotes a Haskell value representing a rational number.

We consider how to implement rational numbers in Haskell later, but for now let's look at rational arithmetic implemented using the constructor and selector functions specified above.

Given our knowledge of rational arithmetic from mathematics, we can define the operations for unary negation, addition, subtraction, multiplication, division, and equality as follows. We assume that the operands x and y are values created by the constructor makeRat.

```
negRat :: Rat -> Rat
negRat x = makeRat (-numer x) (denom x)addRat, subRat, mulRat, divRat :: Rat -> Rat -> Rat -- (1)
addRat x \, y = makeRat (numer x * denom y + numer y * denom x)
                     (denom x * denom y)
subRat x \ y = makeRat (numer x * denom y - number y * denom x)
                     (denom x * denom y)
mulRat x y = makeRat (numer x * numer y) (denom x * denom y)
divRat x y -- (2) (3)
    | eqRat y zeroRat = error "Attempt to divide by 0"
    | otherwise = makeRat (numer x * denom y)
                                (denom x * numer y)
eqRat :: Rat -> Rat -> Bool
eqRat x y = (numer x) * (denom y) == (numer y) * (denom x)
```
The above code:

- 1. combines the type signatures for all four arithmetic operations into a single declaration by listing the names separated by commas
- 2. introduces the parameterless function zeroRat to abstract the constant rational number value 0

Note: We could represent zero as makeRat 0 1 but choose to introduce a separate abstraction.

3. calls the error function for an attempt to divide by zero

These arithmetic functions do not depend upon any specific representation for rational numbers. Instead, they use rational numbers as a *data abstraction* defined by the type Rat, constant zeroRat, constructor function makeRat, and selector functions numer and denom.

The goal of a data abstraction is to separate the logical properties of *data* from the details of how the data are represented.

#### <span id="page-4-0"></span>**7.3.2 Rational number data representation**

Now, how can we represent rational numbers?

For this package, we define type synonym Rat to denote this type:

**type** Rat = (Int, Int)

For example,  $(1,7)$ ,  $(-1, -7)$ ,  $(3, 21)$ , and  $(168, 1176)$  all represent the value  $\frac{1}{7}$ .

As with any value that can be expressed in many different ways, it is useful to define a single *canonical* (or *normal*) form for representing values in the rational number type Rat.

It is convenient for us to choose a Haskell rational number representation  $(x, y)$ that satisfies all parts of the following **Rational Representation Property**:

- $(x,y) \in (Int,Int)$
- $y > 0$
- if  $x = 0$ , then  $y = 1$
- x and y are relatively prime
- rational number value is  $\frac{x}{y}$

By *relatively prime*, we mean that the two integers have no common divisors except 1.

This representation keeps the magnitudes of the numerator x and denominator y small, thus reducing problems with overflow arising during arithmetic operations.

This representation also gives a unique representation for zero. For convenience, we define the name zeroRat to represent this constant:

zeroRat :: (Int,Int) zeroRat =  $(0,1)$ 

We can now define constructor function makeRat  $x$  y that takes two Int values (for the numerator and the denominator) and returns the corresponding Haskell rational number in this canonical form.

```
makeRat :: Int -> Int -> Rat
makeRat x 0 = error ( "Cannot construct a rational number "
                   ++ show x ++ "/0" ) -- (1)makeRat 0 - = zeroRat
makeRat x \overline{y} = (x' `div` d, y' `div` d) --(2)where x' = (sigma' \ y) * x -- (3,4)
        y' = abs' yd = gcd' x' y'
```
In the definition of makeRat, we use features of Haskell we have not used in the previous examples. the above code:

1. uses the infix ++ (read "append") operator to concatenate two strings

We discuss  $++$  in the chapter on infix operations.

2. puts backticks (`) around an alphanumeric function name to use that function as an infix operator

The function div denotes integer division. Above the div operator denotes the integer division function used in an infix manner.

3. uses a **where** clause to introduce x', y', and d as local definitions within the body of makeRat

These local definition can be accessed from within makeRat but not from outside the function. In contrast, sqrtIter in the Square Root example is at the same level as sqrt', so it can be called by other functions (in the same Haskell module at least).

The **where** feature allows us to introduce new definitions in a top-down manner—first using a symbol and then defining it.

4. uses *type inference* for local variables x', y', and d instead of giving explicit type definitions

These parameterless functions could be declared

 $x'$ ,  $y'$ , d :: Int

but it was not necessary because Haskell can infer the types from the types involved in their defining expressions.

Type inference can be used more broadly in Haskell, but explicit type declarations should be used for any function called from outside.

We require that makeRat x y satisfy the *precondition*:

 $y / = 0$ 

The function generates an explicit error exception if it does not.

As a *postcondition*, we require makeRat x y to return a result  $(x', y')$  such that:

- (x',y') satisfies the Rational Representation Property
- rational number value is  $\frac{x}{y}$

Note: Together the two postcondition requirements imply that  $\frac{x'}{y'} = \frac{x}{y}$ .

The function signum' (similar to the more general function signum in the Prelude) takes an integer and returns the integer  $-1$ , 0, or 1 when the number is negative, zero, or positive, respectively.

```
signum' :: Int \rightarrow Int<br>signum' n | n == 0
signum' n | n == 0 = 0| n > 0 = 1| otherwise = -1
```
The function abs' (similar to the more general function abs in the Prelude) takes an integer and returns its absolute value.

abs' ::  $Int \rightarrow Int$ abs' n | n >= 0 = n | otherwise =  $-n$ 

The function gcd' (similar to the more general function gcd in the Prelude) takes two integers and returns their greatest common divisor.

$$
gcd' :: Int \rightarrow Int \rightarrow Int
$$
  
gcd' x y = gcd' (abs' x) (abs' y)  
where gcd' ' x 0 = x  
gcd' ' x y = gcd' ' y (x 'rem' y)

Prelude operation rem returns the remainder from dividing its first operand by its second.

Given a tuple (x,y) constructed by makeRat as defined above, we can define numer  $(x,y)$  and denom  $(x,y)$  as follows:

```
numer, denom :: Rat -> Int
numer (x, ) = xdenom (, y) = y
```
The preconditions of both numer  $(x,y)$  and denom  $(x,y)$  are that their arguments (x,y) satisfy the Rational Representation Property.

The postcondition of numer  $(x,y) = x$  is that the rational number values  $\frac{x}{\text{numer } (x,y)} = \frac{x}{y}.$ 

Similarly, the postcondition of denom  $(x,y) = y$  is that the rational number values  $\frac{\text{denom } (x,y)}{y} = \frac{x}{y}$ .

Finally, to allow rational numbers to be displayed in the normal fractional representation, we include function showRat in the package. We use function show, found in the Prelude, here to convert an integer to the usual string format and use the list operator ++ to concatenate the two strings into one.

```
showRat :: Rat -> String
showRat x = show (numer x) ++ "/" ++ show (denom x)
```
Unlike Rat, zeroRat, makeRat, numer, and denom, function showRat (as implemented) does not use knowledge of the data representation. We could optimize it slightly by allowing it to access the structure of the tuple directly.

#### <span id="page-7-0"></span>**7.3.3 Rational number modularization**

There are three groups of functions in this package:

- 1. the six public rational arithmetic functions negRat, addRat, subRat, mulRat, divRat, and eqRat
- 2. the public type Rat, constant zeroRat, public constructor function makeRat, public selector functions numer and denom, and string conversion function showRat
- 3. the private utility functions called only by the second group, but just reimplementations of Prelude functions anyway

<span id="page-7-1"></span>**7.3.3.1 Module RationalCore** As we have seen, data type Rat; constant zeroRat; functions makeRat, numer, denom, and showRat; and the functions' preconditions and postconditions form the *interface* to the *data abstraction*.

The data abstraction hides the information about the representation of the data. We can *encapsulate* this group of functions in a Haskell module as follows. This source code must also be in a file named <RationalCore.hs>.

```
module RationalCore
    (Rat, makeRat, zeroRat, numer, denom, showRat)
where
    -- Rat,makeRat,zeroRat,numer,denom,showRat definitions
```
In terms of the information-hiding approach, the secret of the RationalCore module is the rational number data representation used.

We can encapsulate the utility functions in a separate module, which would enable them to be used by several other modules.

However, given that the only use of the utility functions is within the data representation module, we choose not to separate them at this time. We leave them as local functions in the data abstraction module. Of course, we could also eliminate them and use the corresponding Prelude functions directly.

<span id="page-8-0"></span>**7.3.3.2 Module Rational** Similarly, functions negRat, addRat, subRat, mulRat, divRat, and eqRat use the core data abstraction and, in turn, extend the interface to include rational number arithmetic operations.

We can encapsulate these in another Haskell module that imports the module giving the data representation. This module must be in a file named <Rational1.hs>.

```
module Rational1
  ( Rat, zeroRat, makeRat, numer, denom, showRat,
    negRat, addRat, subRat, mulRat, divRat, eqRat )
where
    import RationalCore
    -- negRat,addRat,subRat,mulRat,divRat,eqRat definitions
```
Other modules that use the rational number package can import module Rational1.

<span id="page-8-1"></span>**7.3.3.3 Modularization critique** The modularization described above:

- enables a module to be reused in several different programs
- offers robustness with respect to change

The data representation and arithmetic algorithms can change independently.

- allows multiple implementations of each module as long as the public (abstract) interface is kept stable
- enables understanding of one module without understanding the internal details of modules it uses
- costs some in terms of extra code and execution efficiency

But that probably does not matter given the benefits above and the code optimizations carried out by the compiler.

However, the modularization does not hide the representation fully because it uses a concrete data structure—a pair of integers—to represent a rational number. In chapter 21, we see how to use a user-defined data type to hide the representation fully.

#### <span id="page-8-2"></span>**7.3.4 Alternative data representation**

In the rational number data representation above, constructor makeRat creates pairs in which the two integers are relatively prime and the sign is on the numerator. Selector functions numer and denom just return these stored values.

An alternative representation is to reverse this approach, as shown in the following module (in file <RationalDeferGCD.hs>.)

```
module RationalDeferGCD
    (Rat, zeroRat, makeRat, numer, denom, showRat)
where
type Rat = (Int,Int)
zeroRat :: (Int,Int)
zeroRat = (0,1)makeRat :: Int -> Int -> Rat
makeRat x 0 = error ( "Cannot construct a rational number "
                     ++ show x ++ "/0" )
makeRat 0 y = zeroRat
makeRat x y = (x, y)numer :: Rat -> Int
numer (x,y) = x' div d
    where x' = (sigma' \cdot y) * xy' = abs' yd = gcd' x' y'denom :: Rat -> Int
denom (x,y) = y' div d
    where x' = (sigma' \cdot y) * xy' = abs' yd = gcd' x' y'showRat :: Rat -> String
showRat x = show (numer x) ++ "/" ++ show (denom x)
```
This approach defers the calculation of the greatest common divisor until a selector is called.

In this alternative representation, a rational number  $(x, y)$  must satisfy all parts of the following **Deferred Representation Property**:

- $(x,y) \in (Int,Int)$
- $y \neq 0$
- if  $x = 0$ , then  $y = 1$
- rational number value is  $\frac{x}{y}$

Furthermore, we require that makeRat x y satisfies the *precondition*:

 $y$  /= 0

The function generates an explicit error condition if it does not.

As a *postcondition*, we require makeRat x y to return a result  $(x', y')$  such that:

- (x',y') satisfies the Deferred Representation Property
- rational number value is  $\frac{x}{y}$

The preconditions of both numer  $(x,y)$  and denom  $(x,y)$  are that  $(x,y)$  satisfies the Deferred Representation Property.

The postcondition of numer  $(x,y) = x'$  is that the rational number values  $\frac{x'}{\text{numer (x,y)}} = \frac{x}{y}.$ 

Similarly, the postcondition of denom  $(x,y) = y$  is that the rational number values  $\frac{\text{denom } (x,y)}{y'} = \frac{x}{y}$ .

Question:

What are the advantages and disadvantages of the two data representations?

Like module RationalCore, the design secret for this module, RationalDeferGCD, is the rational number data representation.

Regardless of which approach is used, the definitions of the arithmetic and comparison functions do not change. Thus the Rational module can import data representation module RationalCore or RationalDeferGCD.

Figure [7.1](#page-11-0) shows the dependencies among the modules we have examined in the rational arithmetic example.

We can consider the RationalCore and RationalDeferGCD modules as two concrete instances (Haskell **module**s) of a more abstract module we call RationalRep in the diagram.

The module Rational relies on the abstract module RationalRep for an implementation of rational numbers. In the Haskell code above, there are really two versions of the Haskell module Rational that differ only in whether they import RationalCore or RationalDeferGCD.

Chapter 21 introduces user-defined (algebraic) data types. Instead of concrete data types (e.g., the Int pairs used by the type alias Rat), we can totally hide the details of the data representation using modules.

# <span id="page-10-0"></span>**7.3.5 Haskell information-hiding modules**

In the Rational Arithmetic example, we defined two information-hiding modules:

1. "RationalRep", whose secret is how to represent the rational number data and whose interface consists of the data type Rat, constant zeroRat, operations (functions) makeRat, numer, denom, and showRat, and the constraints on these types and functions



<span id="page-11-0"></span>Figure 7.1: Rational package module dependencies.

2. "Rational", whose secret is how to implement the rational number arithmetic and whose interface consists of operations (functions) negRat, addRat, subRat, mulRat, divRat, and eqRat, the other module's interface, and the constraints on these types and functions

We developed two distinct Haskell modules, RationalCore and RationalDeferGCD, to implement the "RationalRep" information-hiding module.

We developed one distinct Haskell module, Rational, to implement the "Rational" information-hiding module. This module can be paired (i.e., by changing the **import** statement) with either of the other two variants of "RationalRep" module. (Source file [Rational1.hs](Rational.hs) imports module RationalCore; source file <Rational2.hs> imports module RationalDeferGCD.)

Unfortunately, Haskell 2010 has a relatively weak module system that does not support multiple implementations as well as we might like. There is no way to declare that multiple Haskell modules have the same interface other than copying the common code into each module and documenting the interface carefully. We must also have multiple versions of Rational that differ only in which other module is imported.

Together the Glasgow Haskell Compiler (GHC) release 8.2 (July 2017) and the Cabal-Install package manager release 2.0 (August 2017) support a new extension, the Backpack mixin package system. This new system remedies the above shortcoming. In this new approach, we would define the abstract module "RationalRep" as a signature file and require that RationalCore and RationalDeferGCD conform to it.

Further discussion of this new module system is beyond the scope of this chapter.

#### <span id="page-12-0"></span>**7.3.6 Rational number testing**

Chapter 12 discusses testing of the Rational modules designed in this chapter. The test scripts for the following modules are in the files shown:

- Module RationalRep
	- **–** <TestRatRepCore.hs> for module instance RationalCore
	- **–** <TestRatRepDefer.hs> for module instance RationalDeferGCD
- Module Rational
	- **–** <TestRational1.hs> for Rational using RationalCore.
	- **–** <TestRational2.hs> for Rational using RationalDeferGCD.

## <span id="page-12-1"></span>**7.4 Module invariants**

As we see in the rational arithmetic example, a module that provides a data abstraction must ensure that the objects it creates and manipulates maintain their integrity—always have a valid structure and state.

- The RationalCore rational number representation satisfies the Rational Representation Property.
- The RationalDeferGCD rational number representation satisfies the Deferred Representation Property.

These properties are *invariants* for those modules. An invariant for the data abstraction can help us design and implement such objects.

**Invariant:** A logical assertion that must always be true for every "object" created by the public constructors and manipulated only by the public operations of the data abstraction.

Often, we separate an invariant into two parts.

- **Interface invariant:** An invariant stated in terms of the public features and abstract properties of the "object".
- **Implementation (representation) invariant:** A detailed invariant giving the required relationships among the internal features of the implementation of an "object"

An interface invariant is a key aspect of the *abstract interface* of a module. It is useful to the users of the module, as well to the developers.

#### <span id="page-13-0"></span>**7.4.1 RationalRep modules**

In the Rational Arithmetic example, the *interface invariant* for the "RationalRep" abstract module is the following.

**RationalRep Interface Invariant:** For any valid Haskell rational number r, all the following hold:

- r ∈ Rat
- denom  $r > 0$
- if numer  $r = 0$ , then denom  $r = 1$
- numer r and denom r are relatively prime
- the (mathematical) rational number value is  $\frac{\text{numer } r}{\text{denom } r}$

We note that the *precondition* for makeRat x y is defined above without any dependence upon the concrete representation.

 $y$  /= 0

We can restate the *postcondition* for makeRat  $x \, y = r$  generically to require both of the following to hold:

- r satisfies the RationaRep Interface Invariant
- rational number  $\mathbf r$  's value is  $\frac{\mathbf x}{\mathbf y}$

The preconditions of both numer  $r$  and denom  $r$  are that their argument  $r$ satisfies the RationalRep Interface Invariant.

The postcondition of **numer**  $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{x}^{\dagger}$  is that the rational number value  $\frac{\mathbf{x}^{\dagger}}{\text{denom }\mathbf{r}}$  is equal to the rational number value of r.

Similarly, the postcondition of denom  $r = y'$  is that the rational number value  $\frac{\text{number } r}{y'}$  is equal to the rational number value of  $r.\{\text{haskell}\}$ 

An implementation invariant guides the developers in the design and implementation of the internal details of a module. It relates the internal details to the interface invariant.

<span id="page-14-0"></span>**7.4.1.1 RationalCore** We can state an implementation invariant for the RationalCore module.

**RationalCore Implementation Invariant:** For any valid Haskell rational number  $r$ , all the following hold:

- $r = (x,y)$  for some  $(x,y) \in Rat$
- $\bullet$  y > 0
- if  $x = 0$ , then  $y = 1$
- x and y are relatively prime
- rational number value is  $\frac{x}{y}$

The implementation invariant implies the interface invariant given the definitions of data type Rat and selector functions numer and denom. Constructor function makeRat does the work to establish the invariant initially.

<span id="page-14-1"></span>**7.4.1.2 RationalDeferGCD** We can state an implementation invariant for the RationalDeferGCD module.

**RationalDeferGCD Implementation Invariant:** For any valid Haskell rational number  $r$ , all the following hold:

- $r = (x,y)$  for some  $(x,y) \in Rat$
- $y \neq 0$
- if  $x == 0$ , then  $y == 1$
- rational number value is  $\frac{x}{y}$

The implementation invariant implies the interface invariant given the definitions of Rat and of the selector functions numer and denom. Constructor function makeRat is simple, but the selector functions numer and denom do quite a bit of work to establish the interface invariant.

#### <span id="page-15-0"></span>**7.4.2 Rational modules**

The Rational abstract module extends the RationalRep abstract module with new functionality.

- It imports the public interface of the RationalRep abstract module and exports those features in its own public interface. Thus it must maintain the interface invariant for the RationalRep module it uses.
- It does not add any new data types or constructor (or destructor) functions. So it does not need any new invariant components for new data abstractions.
- It adds one unary and four binary arithmetic functions that take rational numbers and return a rational number. It does so by using the data abstraction provided by the RationalRep module. These must preserve the RationalRep interface invariant.
- It adds an equality comparison function that takes two rational numbers and returns a Bool.

# <span id="page-15-1"></span>**7.5 What Next?**

Chapter 6 examined procedural abstraction and stepwise refinement and used the method to develop a square root package.

This chapter [\(7\)](#page-2-0) examined data abstraction and used the method to develop a rational number arithmetic package. The chapters explored concepts and methods for modular design and programming using Haskell, including preconditions, postconditions, and invariants.

We continue to use these concepts, techniques, and examples in the rest of the book. In particular:

- Chapter 12 examines how to test the modules developed in this chapter.
- Chapter 22 explores the data abstraction concepts and techniques in more depth. In particular, it examines a detailed case study of an abstract data type.

The next chapter, Chapter 8, examines the substitution model for evaluation of Haskell programs and explores efficiency and termination in the context of that model.

# <span id="page-15-2"></span>**7.6 Chapter Source Code**

The Haskell source code for this chapter includes the following:

• Two versions of a lower-level "RationalnRep" module that gives implementations of rational number given in the following files.

**–** <RationalCore.hs>.

**–** <RationalDeferGCD.hs>.)

- An upper-level rational arithmetic module given in the following files.
	- **–** [Rational1.hs](Rational.hs), a variant that imports the RationalCore module
	- **–** <Rational2.hs>, a variant that imports the RationalDeferGCD module

# <span id="page-16-0"></span>**7.7 Exercises**

For each of the following exercises, develop and test a Haskell function or set of functions.

1. Develop a Haskell module (or modules) for line segments on the twodimensional coordinate plane using the *rectangular coordinate* system.

We can represent a line segment with two points—the starting point and the ending point. Develop the following Haskell functions:

- constructor newSeg that takes two points and returns a new line segment
- selectors startPt and endPt that each take a segment and return its starting and ending points, respectively

We normally represent the plane with a *rectangular coordinate* system. That is, we use two axes—an x *axis* and a y *axis*—intersecting at a right angle. We call the intersection point the *origin* and label it with 0 on both axes. We normally draw the x axis horizontally and label it with increasing numbers to the right and decreasing numbers to the left. We also draw the y axis vertically with increasing numbers upward and decreasing numbers downward. Any point in the plane is uniquely identified by its x-coordinate and y-coordinate.

Define a data representation for points in the rectangular coordinate system and develop the following Haskell functions:

- constructor newPtFromRect that takes the x and y coordinates of a point and returns a new point
- selectors getx and gety that takes a point and returns the x and y coordinates, respectively
- display function showPt that takes a point and returns an appropriate String representation for the point

Now, using the various constructors and selectors, also develop the Haskell functions for line segments:

• midPt that takes a line segment and returns the point at the middle of the segment

• display function showSeg that takes a line segment and returns an appropriate String representation

Note that newSeg, startPt, endPt, midPt, and showSeg can be implemented independently from how the points are represented.

2. Develop a Haskell module (or modules) for line segments that represents points using the *polar coordinate* system instead of the rectangular coordinate system used in the previous exercise.

A polar coordinate system represents a point in the plane by its *radial coordinate* r (i.e., the distance from the *pole*) and its *angular coordinate* t (i.e., the angle from the *polar axis* in the reference direction). We sometimes call r the *magnitude* and t the *angle*.

By convention, we align the rectangular and polar coordinate systems by making the origin the pole, the positive portion of the x axis the polar axis, and let the first quadrant (where both x and y are positive) be the smallest positive angles in the reference direction. That is, with a traditional drawing of the coordinate systems, we measure and the radial coordinate  $r$  as the distance from the origin measure the angular coordinate t counterclockwise from the positive x axis.

Using knowledge of trigonometry, we can convert among rectangular coordinates  $(x,y)$  and polar coordinates  $(r,t)$  using the equations:

 $x = r * cos(t)$  $y = r * sin(t)$  $r = sqrt(x^2 + y^2)$  $t = \arctan(2(y, x))$ 

Define a data representation for points in the polar coordinate system and develop the following Haskell functions:

- constructor newPtFromPolar that takes the magnitude r and angle t as the polar coordinates of a point and returns a new point
- selectors getMag and getAng that each take a point and return the magnitude  $r$  and angle  $t$  coordinates, respectively
- selectors getx and gety that return the x and y components of the points (represented here in polar coordinates)
- display functions showPtAsRect and showPtAsPolar to convert the points to strings using rectangular and polar coordinates, respectively,

Functions newSeg, startPt, endPt, midPt, and showSeg should work as in the previous exercise.

3. Modify the solutions to the previous two line-segment module exercises to enable the line segment functions to be in one module that works properly

if composed with either of the two data representation modules. (The solutions may have already done this.)

- 4. Modify the solution to the previous line-segment exercise to use the Backpack module system.
- 5. Modify the modules in the previous exercise to enable the line segment module to work with both data representations in the same program.
- 6. Modify the solution to the Rational Arithmetic example to use the Backpack module system.
- 7. State preconditions and postconditions for the functions in abstract module Rational.

## <span id="page-18-0"></span>**7.8 Acknowledgements**

In Summer and Fall 2016, I adapted and revised much of this work from my previous materials:

- Discussion of the Rational Arithmetic modules mostly from chapter 5 of my *Notes on Functional Programming with Haskell* [4], from my Lua-based implementations, and from section 2.1 of Abelson and Sussman's *[Structure](http://mitpress.mit.edu/sicp/) [and Interpretation of Computer Programs](http://mitpress.mit.edu/sicp/)* [1].
- Discussion of modular design and programming issues from my Data Abstraction [5] and Modular Design [6] notes, which drew ideas over the past 25 years from a variety of sources [2,3,7–19].

In 2017, I continued to develop this work as Sections 2.6-2.7 in Chapter 2, Basic Haskell Functional Programming, of my 2017 Haskell-based programming languages textbook.

In Spring and Summer 2018, I divided the previous Basic Haskell Functional Programming chapter into four chapters in the 2018 version of the textbook, now titled *Exploring Languages with Interpreters and Functional Programming.* Previous sections 2.1-2.3 became the basis for new Chapter 4, First Haskell Programs; previous Section 2.4 became Section 5.3 in the new Chapter 5, Types; and previous sections 2.5-2.7 were reorganized into new Chapter 6, Procedural Abstraction, and Chapter 7, Data Abstraction (this chapter).

I retired from the full-time faculty in May 2019. As one of my post-retirement projects, I am continuing work on this textbook. In January 2022, I began refining the existing content, integrating additional separately developed materials, reformatting the document (e.g., using CSS), constructing a bibliography (e.g., using citeproc), and improving the build workflow and use of Pandoc.

I maintain this chapter as text in Pandoc's dialect of Markdown using embedded LaTeX markup for the mathematical formulas and then translate the document to HTML, PDF, and other forms as needed.

# <span id="page-19-0"></span>**7.9 Terms and Concepts**

#### TODO: Update

Haskell **module**, module exports and imports, module dependencies, rational number arithmetic, data abstraction, properties of data, data representation, precondition, postcondition, invariant, interface invariant, implementation or representation invariant, canonical or normal forms, relatively prime, information hiding, module secret, encapsulation, interface, abstract interface, type inference.

## <span id="page-19-1"></span>**7.10 References**

- [1] Harold Abelson and Gerald Jockay Sussman. 1996. *Structure and interpretation of computer programs (SICP)* (Second ed.). MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA. Retrieved from<https://mitpress.mit.edu/sicp/>
- [2] Kathryn Heninger Britton, R. Alan Parker, and David L. Parnas. 1981. A procedure for designing abstract interfaces for device interface modules. In *Proceedings of the 5th international conference on software engineering*, IEEE, San Diego, California, USA, 195–204.
- [3] Timothy Budd. 2000. *Understanding object-oriented programming with Java* (Updated ed.). Addison-Wesley, Boston, Massachusetts, USA.
- [4] H. Conrad Cunningham. 2014. *Notes on functional programming with Haskell*. University of Mississippi, Department of Computer and Information Science, University, Mississippi, USA. Retrieved from [https:](https://john.cs.olemiss.edu/~hcc/docs/Notes_FP_Haskell/Notes_on_Functional_Programming_with_Haskell.pdf) [//john.cs.olemiss.edu/~hcc/docs/Notes\\_FP\\_Haskell/Notes\\_on\\_Functi](https://john.cs.olemiss.edu/~hcc/docs/Notes_FP_Haskell/Notes_on_Functional_Programming_with_Haskell.pdf) [onal\\_Programming\\_with\\_Haskell.pdf](https://john.cs.olemiss.edu/~hcc/docs/Notes_FP_Haskell/Notes_on_Functional_Programming_with_Haskell.pdf)
- [5] H. Conrad Cunningham. 2019. *Notes on data abstraction*. University of Mississippi, Department of Computer and Information Science, University, Mississippi, USA. Retrieved from [https://john.cs.olemiss.edu/~hcc/docs/](https://john.cs.olemiss.edu/~hcc/docs/DataAbstraction/DataAbstraction.html) [DataAbstraction/DataAbstraction.html](https://john.cs.olemiss.edu/~hcc/docs/DataAbstraction/DataAbstraction.html)
- [6] H. Conrad Cunningham. 2019. *Notes on modular design*. University of Mississippi, Department of Computer and Information Science, University, Mississippi, USA. Retrieved from [https://john.cs.olemiss.edu/~hcc/docs/](https://john.cs.olemiss.edu/~hcc/docs/ModularDesign/ModularDesign.html) [ModularDesign/ModularDesign.html](https://john.cs.olemiss.edu/~hcc/docs/ModularDesign/ModularDesign.html)
- [7] H. Conrad Cunningham, Yi Liu, and Jingyi Wang. 2010. Designing a flexible framework for a table abstraction. In *Data engineering: Mining, information, and intelligence*, Yupo Chan, John Talburt and Terry M. Talley (eds.). Springer, New York, New York, USA, 279–314.
- [8] H. Conrad Cunningham and Jingyi Wang. 2001. Building a layered framework for the table abstraction. In *Proceedings of the ACM symposium on applied computing*, Las Vegas, Nevada, USA.
- [9] H. Conrad Cunningham, Cuihua Zhang, and Yi Liu. 2004. Keeping secrets within a family: Rediscovering Parnas. In *Proceedings of the international conference on software engineering research and practice (SERP)*, CSREA Press, Las Vegas, Nevada, USA, 712–718.
- [10] Nell Dale and Henry M. Walker. 1996. *Abstract data types: Specifications, implementations, and applications*. D. C. Heath, Lexington, Massachusetts, USA.
- [11] Cay S. Horstmann. 1995. *Mastering object-oriented design in C++*. Wiley, Indianapolis, Indiana, USA.
- [12] Cay S. Horstmann and Gary Cornell. 1999. *Core Java 1.2: Volume I—Fundamentals*. Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, USA.
- [13] Bertrand Meyer. 1997. *Object-oriented program construction* (Second ed.). Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, USA.
- [14] Hanspeter Mossenbock. 1995. *Object-oriented programming in Oberon-2*. Springer, Berlin, Germany.
- [15] David L. Parnas. 1972. On the criteria to be used in decomposing systems into modules. *Communications of the ACM* 15, 12 (December 1972),  $1053\hbox{--}1058.$
- [16] David L. Parnas. 1979. Designing software for ease of extension and contraction. *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering* SE-5, 1 (1979), 128–138.
- [17] David L. Parnas. 1979. The modular structure of complex systems. *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering* SE-11, 13 (1979), 128–138.
- [18] Pete Thomas and Ray Weedom. 1995. *Object-oriented programming in Eiffel*. Addison-Wesley, Boston, Massachusetts, USA.
- [19] David M. Weiss. 2001. Introduction: On the criteria to be used in decomposing systems into modules. In *Software fundamentals: Collected papers by David L. Parnas*, Daniel M. Hoffman and David M. Weiss (eds.). Addison-Wesley, Boston, Massachusetts, USA.