

**Object World Berlin '99
Design & Components
Berlin, May 17th-20th 1999**

Examples of Design by Contract in Java

using Contract, the Design by Contract[™] Tool for Java[™]

Reto Kramer, kramer@acm.org

Java is a registered trademark of Sun Microsystems Inc.
Design by Contract is a registered trademark of ISE Inc.

Design by Contract - What is it ?

- **Classes of a system communicate with one another on the basis of precisely defined benefits and obligations.**

[Bertrand Meyer, CACM, Vol. 36, No 9, 1992]

Example - Class Person

```
■ /**
 * @invariant age_ > 0
 */
class Person {
    protected age_;

    /**
     * @post return > 0
     */
    int getAge() {...}

    /**
     * @pre age > 0
     */
    void setAge( int age ) {...}

    ...}

```

- New comment-tags: **@pre**, **@post**, **@invariant**
- All instances of person must have a positive age.
- Clients are promised that the age is positive provided that:
- Clients are obligated to pass positive ages only. Service will be denied otherwise.

Meaning of pre, post and invariant

- If **preconditions** are not obeyed by the **client** of the class' method, the service provider will deny its service !
- If any **postcondition** is violated, it uncovers a problem on the **service provider** side.
- If any class **invariant** is violated it uncovers a problem on the **service provider** side.
- The problem can be
 - implementation error
 - not specific enough preconditions

Benefits - Obligations

	Benefit	Obligation
Client	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- no need to check output values- result guaranteed to comply to postcondition <p>④</p>	<p>satisfy pre-conditions</p> <p>①</p>
Provider	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- no need to check input values- input guaranteed to comply to precondition <p>②</p>	<p>satisfy post-conditions</p> <p>③</p>

So, is it like “**assert.h**” ?

- Assert statements are a great tool - design by contract even goes one step beyond them:
 - assert does not provide a contract
 - clients can not see asserts as part of the interface
 - does not have a semantic associated with it
 - not explicit whether they represent pre-, post-conditions or invariants
 - no OO support (e.g. inheritance), see later
 - does not lead to “automatic” documentation

Example - A Simple Interface


```
■ 1: interface Person {
2:
3:     /**age always positive
4:     * @post return > 0
5:     */
6:     int getAge();
7:
8:     /** age always positive
9:     * @pre age > 0
10:    */
11:    void setAge( int age );
12: }

1: class Employee implements Person {
2:
3:     protected int age_;
4:
5:     public int getAge() {
6:         return age_;
7:     };
8:
9:     public void setAge( int age ) {
10:         age_ = age;
11:     };
12: }
```


Benefits - General

- failures occur close to the faults
(I.e. during integration tests and field use!)
- interface documentation always up-to-date,
can be trusted!
- documentation can be generated
automatically (iDoclet)
- contract specification serves as a basis for
black box testing of classes (test-driver spec)

Benefits - Abstraction and Precision



Benefits - Project Phases



Benefits - Project Roles

■ Class user

- postconditions guaranteed
- can trust documentation

■ Class provider

- preconditions guaranteed
- automatic documentation

■ Test manager

- more accurate test-effort estimation
- black box spec for free

■ Project manager

- easier to preserve design over a long time
- reduced maintenance effort in the long run (failure close to fault)
- enables unambiguous interface specification
- lower documentation cost
- fearless reuse (enables specification of reusable classes)


References

- iContract: <http://www.reliable-systems.com>
- Books:
 - “Object Oriented Software Construction”, 2nd edition, Bertrand Meyer, Prentice Hall, 1997
 - “Objects, Components and Frameworks with UML”, D.F. D’Souza, A. Cameron Wills, Addison Wesley, 1999
- Eiffel [Interactive Software Engineering, ISE]
<http://www.eiffel.com>
- UML 1.1 / Object Constraint Language (OCL)
<http://www.rational.com>

iContract - the Tool

- source code pre-processor
- no run-time library required
- compatible with OCL
 - old value, $x@pre$
 - return value
 - quantifiers: forall, exists
- supports Java type extension mechanisms (contract propagation)


Tool Components



Performance Tuning

- Check instrumentation is done per .java file (public class)
- Performance critical classes can be excluded from the checks
- Files can be instrumented with any combination of checks for:
 - pre-
 - post-conditions and
 - invariants
- E.g. if implementation is tested thoroughly, only check preconditions

Java Language Support



Java Language Support (con't)

- All but private methods are instrumented with invariant checks.
- The finalize() method is not instrumented with invariant checks.
- Invariant checks are "**synchronized**"
- Recursive invariant checks are avoided automatically
- Default constructors are added to classes automatically, if needed
- In constructors the delegation to this(...) and super(...) is put in front of the precondition check (javac demands this).

Specification Language

- Propositional logic with quantifiers
- Any expression that may appear in an if(...) condition may appear in a pre-, post- and invariant expression
- Scope:
 - as if the invariant were a method of the class, interface
 - as if the pre- and postcondition were a statement of the method

Specification Language (con't)

- **forall** Type t **in** <enumeration> | <expr>
 - <collection>->**forall**(t | <expr>)
- **exists** Type t **in** <enumeration> | <expr>
 - <collection>->**exists**(t | <expr>)
- <a> **implies** (same as OCL)
 - same as OCL

- Differences between iContract and OCL
 - syntactic & iContract needs to know Type!

Specification Language (con't)

- In postconditions references to the following pseudo-variables are allowed:
- *return* denotes the return value of a method
 - this is called “result” in OCL
- *<expression>@pre* denotes the value of the expression (e.g. variable) when the method was entered - notation from UML / OCL
“old value reference”
 - same as OCL

Example

■ Office Management System


- Manage the rooms available to a company.
Provide new hires with office and support employees that move from one office to another.

■ Focus on

- Initial type model of domain (UML)
- Add business constraints and rules (OCL)
- Add precise meaning of operations (OCL)
- Generate Java (iContract)

Office Management Example (hire)

1



2

void Company:hire(Employee e)

pre: (e != null) && (!employees->includes(e))

post:

- employees->includes(e)

- getAvailableRoom()@pre != getAvailableRoom()
 // hire must call an unspecified method that will
 // ensure that a new, available room is chosen

- e.office == getAvailableRoom() // SIDE EFFECT FREE!

Room Company:getAvailableRoom()

pre: roomsAvailable()

post:

- result != null

- rooms->includes(result)

- !employees->exists(e | e.office == result)

- result == getAvailableRoom() // SIDE EFFECT FREE!

boolean Company:roomsAvailable()

pre: TRUE

post: result == rooms->exists(room |
 !employees->exists(e |
 e.office == room))

Office Management Example (move)

3

```

void Company:move(Employee e, Room newRoom)

pre:
- (e != null) && (newRoom != null)
- employees->includes(e)
- !employee->exists( e | e.office == newRoom )
  // newRoom is not anyone's office

post:
- e.office == newRoom
- !employee->exists( other | other.office == e.office@pre )
  // the employee's (e) old office (e.office@pre) is not
  // used by any other employee
    
```


Room.isAvailable() ??

```

boolean Room:isAvailable()

pre: TRUE
post:
- result == owner != null
    
```

4



5

```


void Company:move(Employee e, Room newRoom)

pre:
- (e != null) && (newRoom != null)
- employees->includes(e)
- newRoom.isAvailable()

post:
- e.office == newRoom
- e.office@pre.isAvailable()
- !newRoom.isAvailable()
    
```


API Specification for Subsystem

- Assume Office Management System to be a subsystem of a larger, total solution
- Hence requires proper separation of interface from implementation.
- Specification of previous slides is mapped to Java package containing interfaces.
- but what about the associations ?



- Need to create “get” methods for each role in an association ...

API Specification for Subsystem



iContract

Company

- rooms->isEmpty() implies employees.isEmpty()
- employees -> forall (e | rooms -> includes(e.office))
- employees->forall(e1 | employees->forall(e2 | (e1 != e2) implies (e1.room != e2.room))

```
■ /**
 * @invariant getRooms().isEmpty() implies getEmployees.isEmpty()
 * @invariant forall Employee e in getEmployees().elements() |
 *             getRooms.contains(e.getOffice())
 * @invariant forall Employee e1 in getEmployees().elements() |
 *             forall Employee e2 in getEmployees().elements() |
 *             (e1!=e2) implies (e1.getOffice()!=e2.getOffice())
 */
interface Company {
  /**
   * @pre (e!=null) && (newRoom!=null)
   * ..
   * @post e.getOffice()@pre.isAvailable()
   */
  void move(Employee e, Room newRoom);
  ..
}
```

void Company:move(Employee e, Room newRoom)

pre:

- (e != null) && (newRoom != null)
- employees->includes(e)
- newRoom.isAvailable()

post:

- e.office == newRoom
- e.office@pre.isAvailable()
- !newRoom.isAvailable()

iContract propagates API specification into implementing classes !