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3 Python 3 Decorators and Metaclasses

In this chapter, we look at metaprogramming using Python decorators and metaclasses. To do so, we consider a simple tracing debugger case study, adapted from David Beazley’s debugly example from his metaprogramming tutorial [Beazley 2013a].

3.1 Basic Function-Level Debugging

3.1.1 Motivating example

Suppose we have a Python function add:

```python
def add(x, y):
    'Add x and y'
    return x + y
```

A simple way we can approach debugging is to insert a `print` statement into the function to trace execution, as follows:

```python
def add(x, y):
    'Add x and y'
    print('add')
    return x + y
```

However, suppose we need to debug several similar functions simultaneously. Following the above approach, we might have code similar to that in the example below.

```python
def add(x, y):
    'Add x and y'
    print('add')
    return x + y

def sub(x, y):
    'Subtract y from x'
    print('sub')
    return x - y

def mul(x, y):
    'Multiply x and y'
    print('mul')
    return x * y

def div(x, y):
    'Divide x by y'
```
print('div')
return x / y

We insert basically the same code into every function.

This code is unpleasant because it violates the Abstraction Principle.

### 3.1.2 Abstraction Principle, staying DRY

The *Abstraction Principle* states, “Each significant piece of functionality in a program should be implemented in just one place in the source code.” [Pierce 2002, p. 339]. If similar functionality is needed in several places, then the common parts of the functionality should be separated from the variable parts.

The common parts become a new programming abstraction (e.g. a function, class, abstract data type, design pattern, etc.) and the variable parts become different ways in which the abstraction can be customized (e.g. its parameters).

The approach encourages reuse of both design and code. Perhaps more importantly, it can make it easier to keep the similar parts consistent as the program evolves.

Andy Hunt and Dave Thomas [Hunt 2000, pp. 26-33] articulate a more general software development principle *Don’t Repeat Yourself*, known by the acronym DRY.

In an interview [Venners 2003], Thomas states, “DRY says that every piece of system knowledge should have one authoritative, unambiguous representation. . . . A system’s knowledge is far broader than just its code. It refers to database schemas, test plans, the build system, even documentation.”

Our goal is to keep our Python 3 code DRY, not let it get WET (“Write Everything Twice” or “Wasting Everyone’s Time” or “We Enjoy Typing” [Wikipedia 2018a].)

### 3.1.3 Function decorators

To introduce an appropriate abstraction into the previous set of functions, we can use a Python 3 function decorator.

A *function decorator* is a higher-order function that takes a function as its argument, wraps another function around the argument, and returns the wrapper function.

The wrapper function has the same parameters and same return value as the function it wraps, except it does extra processing when it is called. That is, it “decorates” the original function.
Remember that Python 3 functions are objects. Python’s decorator function concept is thus a special case of the Decorator design pattern, one of the classic Gang of Four patterns for object-oriented programming [Gamma 1995]. The idea of this pattern is to wrap one object with another object, the decorator, that has the same interface but enhanced behavior. The decoration is usually done at runtime even in a statically typed language like Java.

TODO: Perhaps expand on the Decorator design pattern and give a diagram.

### 3.1.4 Constructing a debug decorator

In the motivating example above, we want to decorate a function like `add(x, y)` by wrapping it with another function that prints the function name `add` before doing the addition operation. The wrapped function can then take the place of the original `add` in the program.

Let’s construct an appropriate decorator in steps.

In general, suppose we want to decorate a function named `func` that takes some number of positional and/or keyword arguments. That is, the function has the general signature:

```
func(*args, **kwargs)
```

Note: For more information on the above function calling syntax, see the discussion on Function Calling Conventions in Chapter 6.

In addition, suppose we want to print the content of the variable `msg` before we execute `func`.

As our first step, we define function `wrapper` as follows:

```python
def wrapper(*args, **kwargs):
    print(msg)
    return func(*args, **kwargs)
```

As our second step, we define a decorator function `debug` that takes a function `func` as its argument, sets local variable `msg` to `func`'s name, and then creates and returns the function `wrapper`.

Function `debug` can retrieve the function name by accessing the `__qualname__` attribute of the `func` object. Attribute `__qualname__` holds the fully qualified name.

```python
def debug(func):
    msg = func.__qualname__
    def wrapper(*args, **kwargs):
        print(msg)
        return func(*args, **kwargs)
    return wrapper
```
Function `debug` returns a closure that consists of the function `wrapper` plus the local environment in which `wrapper` is defined. The local environment includes the argument `func` and the variable `msg` and their values.

Note: For more information about the concepts and techniques used above, see the discussion of Nested Function Definitions, Lexical Scope, and Closures in Chapter 6.

It seems sufficient to assign the closure returned by `debug` to the name of `func` as shown below for `add`.

```python
def add(x, y):
    'Add x and y' # docstring (documentation)
    return x + y
add = debug(add)
```

But this does not work as expected as shown in the following REPL session.

```python
>>> add(2,5)
add
7
>>> add.__qualname__
debug.<locals>.wrapper
>>> add.__doc__
None
```

The closure returned by `debug` computes the correct result. However, it does not have the correct metadata, as illustrated above by the display of the name (`__qualname__`) and the docstring (`__doc__`) metadata.

To make the use of the decorator `debug` transparent to the user, we can apply the function decorator `@wraps` defined in the standard module `functools` as follows.

```python
def debug(func):
    msg = func.__qualname__
    @wraps(func)
    def wrapper(*args, **kwargs):
        print(msg)
        return func(*args, **kwargs)
    return wrapper

def add(x, y):
    'Add x and y' # docstring (documentation)
    return x + y
add = debug(add)
```

The `@wraps(func)` decorator call above sets function `wrapper`'s metadata — it's attributes `__module__`, `__name__`, `__qualname__`, `__annotations__`, and `__doc__` — to the same values as `func`'s metadata.
With this new version of the `debug` decorator, the decoration of `add` now works transparently.

```python
>>> add(2,5)
add
7
>>> add.__qualname__ add
>>> add.__doc__
Add x and y
```

Finally, because the definition of a function like `add` and the application of the `debug` decorator function usually occur together, we can use the decorator `syntactic sugar @debug` to conveniently designate the definition of a decorated function. The `debug` decorator function can be defined in a separate module.

```python
@debug
def add(x, y):
    'Add x and y'
    return x + y
```

### 3.1.5 Using the debug decorator

Given the `debug` decorator as defined in the previous subsection, we can now simplify the motivating example.

We decorate each function with `@debug` but give no other details of the implementation here. The debug facility is implemented in one place but used in many places. The implementation supports the DRY principle.

```python
@debug
def add(x, y):
    'Add x and y'
    return x + y

@debug
def sub(x, y):
    'Subtract y from x'
    return x - y

@debug
def mul(x, y):
    'Multiply x and y'
    return x * y

@debug
def div(x, y):
```
Divide x by y

```python
return x / y
```

Note: The Python 3.6+ source code for the above version of `debug` is available in linked file `debug4.py`.

### 3.1.6 Case study review

So far in this case study, we have implemented a simple debugging facility that:

- is implemented once in a place separate from its use
- is thus easy to modify or disable totally
- can be used without knowing its implementation details

### 3.1.7 Variations

Now let’s consider a couple of variations of the debugging decorator implementation.

#### 3.1.7.1 Logging

One variation would be to use the Python logging module to log the messages instead of just printing them [Beazley 2013a].

The details of logging are not important here, but note that we only need to make three changes to the `debug` implementation. We do not need to change the user code.

```python
from functools import wraps
import logging  # (1) logging module

def debug(func):
    # (2) get the Logger for func's module
    log = logging.getLogger(func.__module__)
    msg = func.__qualname__
    @wraps(func)
    def wrapper(*args, **kwargs):
        log.debug(msg)  # (3) log msg
        return func(*args, **kwargs)
    return wrapper
```

Note: The Python 3.6+ source code for the above version of `debug` is available in linked file `debuglog1.py`.
3.1.7.2 Optional disable

Another variation of the debugging decorator would be to only enable debugging when a particular environment variable is set [Beazley 2013a]. In this variation, we only need to make two changes to the debug implementation.

```python
from functools import wraps
import os  # (1) import os interface

def debug(func):
    # (2) debug only if environment variable set
    if 'DEBUG' not in os.environ:
        return func
    msg = func.__qualname__
    @wraps(func)
    def wrapper(*args, **kwargs):
        print(msg)
        return func(*args, **kwargs)
    return wrapper
```

Note: The Python 3.6+ source code for the above version of debug is available in linked file debugopt1.py.

3.2 Extended Function-Level Debugging

Now we can extend the capability of our simple tracing debugger [Beazley 2013a].

3.2.1 Motivating example

Suppose, for whatever reason, we want to add a prefix string to the debugging message that may differ from one use of @debug to another. Again consider the set of arithmetic functions.

```python
def add(x, y):
    'Add x and y'
    print('***add')
    return x + y

def sub(x, y):
    'Subtract y from x'
    print('@@@sub')
    return x - y

def mul(x, y):
    'Multiply x and y'
    print('***mul')
```
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```python
return x * y

def div(x, y):
    'Divide x by y'
    print('div')
    return x / y
```

We implement the needed capability by using function decorators with arguments.

### 3.2.2 Decorators with arguments

We can construct decorators that take arguments other than the function to be decorated.

Consider the following use of decorator `deco`:

```python
@deco(args)
def func():
    # some body code
```

The above translates into the following decorator call and assignment:

```python
func = deco(args)(func)
```

The right-hand side denotes the chaining of two function calls. The system first calls function `deco` passing it the first argument list (`args`). This call returns a function, which is in turn called with the second argument list, variable (`func`). The outer function call establishes a local environment in which the variables in `args` are defined. In this environment, we define a normal decorator as we did before.

#### 3.2.3 Prefix decorator

We can thus define the outer layer of a prefix decorator with a function with parameter `prefix` that defaults to the empty string.

```python
def debug(prefix=' '):
    def deco(func):
        # normal debug decorator body
        return deco
```

The full definition of the prefix decorator is shown below. If no argument is given to `debug`, the behavior is (almost) the same as the previous `debug` decorator function.

```python
from functools import wraps

def debug(prefix=' '):
    def deco(func):
        # normal debug decorator body
        return deco
```
def deco(func):
    msg = prefix + func.__qualname__
    @wraps(func)
    def wrapper(*args, **kwargs):
        print(msg)
        return func(*args, **kwargs)
    return wrapper
return deco

In this formulation, prefix can be given as either a positional or keyword argument.

We can apply the new prefix debug decorator to our motivating example functions as follows. Note that the prefix strings vary among the different occurrences.

```python
@debug(prefix='***')
def add(x, y):
    'Add x and y'
    return x + y

@debug(prefix='@@@')
def sub(x, y):
    'Subtract y from x'
    return x - y

@debug('***')
def mul(x, y):
    'Multiply x and y'
    return x * y

@debug()  # parentheses needed!
def div(x, y):
    'Divide x by y'
    return x / y
```

Note: The Python 3.6+ source code for the above version of debugprefix is available in linked file debugprefix1.py.

### 3.2.4 Reformulated prefix decorator

By a clever use of default arguments and partial application of a function to its arguments, we can transform the definition of the prefix decorator above to one that does not involve a nested definition.

```python
from functools import wraps, partial

def debug(func = None, *, prefix = ''):
    ...
if func is None:
    return partial(debug, prefix=prefix)
msg = prefix + func.__qualname_
@wraps(func)
def wrapper(*args, **kwargs):
    print(msg)
    return func(*args, **kwargs)
return wrapper

If we call the debug decorator function with the single keyword argument prefix, then the func argument defaults to None. In this case, the if statement causes debug to call itself with that prefix argument and the decorated function (that follows the @debug annotation in the user-level code or occurs in a second argument list) as the func argument.

Note: The functools.partial function takes a function (object) and a group of positional and/or keyword arguments, partially applies the function to those arguments, then returns the resulting function (object). The returned function behaves like the original function except that it has the argument values supplied to partial as its default parameter values.

If we call the debug decorator function with no keyword arguments, then parameter prefix defaults to the empty string and func is the decorated function (e.g. that follows the @debug annotation).

If we call debug with both func and prefix arguments, then it works as we expect. This case is not used with the @debug annotation.

@debug(prefix='***')
def add(x, y):
    'Add x and y'
    return x + y

@debug(prefix='@@@')
def sub(x, y):
    'Subtract y from x'
    return x - y

@debug(prefix='***')
def mul(x, y):
    'Multiply x and y'
    return x * y

@debug  # no parentheses required, but okay if given
def div(x, y):
    'Divide x by y'
    return x / y

Unlike the previous formulation of the prefix decorator, the prefix string must
be supplied as a prefix argument.

Note: The Python 3.6+ source code for the above version of `debugprefix` is available in linked file `debugprefix2.py`.

### 3.3 Class-Level Debugging

#### 3.3.1 Motivating example

Consider the class `Account` below for a simple bank account.

Suppose we want to debug all the methods using the simple debugging package we developed above.

```python
class Account:
    def __init__(self):
        self._bal = 0

@debug
def deposit(self, amt):
    self._bal += amt

@debug
def withdraw(self, amt):
    if amt <= self._bal:
        self._bal -= amt
    else:
        print(f'Insufficient funds for withdrawal of {amt}')

@debug
def get_balance(self):
    return self._bal

def __str__(self):
    return f'Account with balance {self._bal}'
```

Note: The Python 3.6+ source code for the above version of `Account` is available in linked file `<account2.py`.

#### 3.3.2 Class-level debugger

The `Account` example above is repetitive (not DRY). Can we do the decoration all at once?

Yes, we can define a class decorator `debugmethods` as shown below (where `debug` is the function-level prefix decorator defined above). A *class decorator* is a
higher-order function that takes a class as its argument, modifies the class in some way, and then returns the modified class.

```python
def debugmethods(cls):  # Notes
    for name, val in vars(cls).items():  # (1) (2) (3)
        if callable(val):  # (4)
            setattr(cls, name, debug(val))  # (5) (6)
    return cls  # (7)
```

The idea here is that the program walks through the class dictionary, identifies callable objects (e.g. methods), and wraps each with a function decorator.

Consider the numbered comments in the above code.

1. The built-in function call `vars(cls)` returns the dictionary (i.e. `__dict__`) associated with the (class) object `cls`.

2. The dictionary method call `items()` returns the list of key-value pairs in the dictionary.

3. The “for name, val in” statement loops through the pairs in the list, successively binding each key to `name` and value to `val`.

4. The built-in function call `callable(val)` returns `True` if `val` appears callable, `False` if not. (These are likely instance methods.)

5. The call `debug(val)` applies the function-level prefix debugger we defined above to the method `val`. That is, it wraps the method with function decorator `debug`.

6. The built-in function call `setattr(cls, name, debug(val))` sets the `name` attribute of object `cls` (i.e. in its dictionary) to the value `debug(val)`.

7. The decorator function `debugmethods` returns the modified class object `cls` in place of the original class.

The code below shows the application of this new decorator to the `Account` class.

```python
@debugmethods
class Account:
    def __init__(self):
        self._bal = 0

    def deposit(self, amt):
        self._bal += amt

    def withdraw(self, amt):
        if amt <= self._bal:
            self._bal -= amt
        else:
            print(f'Insufficient funds for withdrawal of {amt}')
```
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def get_balance(self):
    return self._bal

def __str__(self):
    return f'Account with balance {self._bal}'

Note: The Python 3.6+ source code for the above version of Account is available in linked file account3.py.

A single decorator application handles all the method definitions within the class.

Well, not quite!

It does not decorate class or static methods, such as the following which can be added to class Account.

class Account:
    ...

    @classmethod
    def classname(cls):
        return cls.__name__

    @staticmethod
    def warn(msg):
        print(f'Warning: {msg}')

Note: The Python 3.6+ source code for the extended version of Account is available in linked file account4.py.

TODO: Explain why this does not work.

3.3.3 Variation: Attribute access debugging

Suppose instead of printing a message on every call of a method, we do so for each access to an attribute.

We can do this by rewriting part of the class as shown below. In particular, we give a new implementation for the special method __getattr___.

def debugattr(cls):
    orig_getattribute = cls.__getattribute__

def __getattribute__(self, name):
    print(f'Get: {name}')
    return orig_getattribute(self, name)
The special method `__getattribute__` is called to implement accesses to “regular” attributes of the class. It is not called on accesses to other special methods such as `__init__` and `__str__`.

In the above, we save the original implementation of the method and then call it to complete the access once we have printed an appropriate debugging message.

In the example below, we decorate the `Account` class with `@debugattr`.

```python
@debugattr
class Account:
    def __init__(self):
        self._bal = 0
    def deposit(self, amt):
        self._bal += amt
    def withdraw(self, amt):
        if amt <= self._bal:
            self._bal -= amt
        else:
            print(f'Insufficient funds for withdrawal of {amt}"
    def get_balance(self):
        return self._bal
    def __str__(self):
        return f'Account with balance {self._bal}'
```

Note: The Python 3.6+ source code for the above version of `Account` is available in linked file `account5.py`.

We can see the effects of the decorator in the following REPL session.

```python
>>> acct = Account()
>>> str(acct)
'Account with balance 0'
>>> acct.deposit(100)
Get: deposit
'Account with balance 100'
>>> str(acct)
'Account with balance 100'
>>> acct.withdraw(60)
Get: withdraw
```
Note that both calls to the methods and the accesses to the “private” data attribute _bal are shown. (If we want to exclude accesses to the private instance variables, we can modify debugattr to exclude attributes whose names begin with a single underscore.)

### 3.4 Class Hierarchy Debugging

#### 3.4.1 Motivating example

Now let’s set up class-level debugging on the inheritance hierarchy P example from Chapter 7.

```python
@debugmethods
class P:
    def __init__(self, name=None):
        self.name = name
    def process(self):
        return f'Process at parent P level'

@debugmethods
class C(P):
    # class C inherits from class P
    def process(self):
        result = f'Process at child C level'
        # Call method in parent class
        return f'{result} \n {super().process()}'

@debugmethods
class D(P):
    # class D inherits from class P
    pass

@debugmethods
class G(C):
    # class G inherits from class C
    def process(self):
        return f'Process at grandchild G level'
```
Note: The Python 3.6+ source code for the above version of the P class hierarchy is available in linked file `inherit2.py`.

So, we have another occurrence of code redundancy that we saw at the class level in the previous section. Let’s see if we can DRY out the code more.

To do this, the program needs to process the whole class hierarchy rooted at class P. Let’s review the nature of the Python 3 object model to see how to do this.

### 3.4.2 Review of objects and types

In Chapters 5-7 of these notes, we learned:

- All Python 3 values are objects.
- All objects have types.
- A class defines a new type.
- A class is a callable (i.e. function) that creates instances; the class is the type of the instances it creates. Hence, in some sense, a class is a type consisting of its potential instances and the operations it defines.
- A class itself is an object. It is an instance of other classes. Thus it has a type.
- The built-in class `type` is the root class (i.e. top-level metaclass) for all other classes (i.e. types). When a program invokes `type` as a constructor, it creates a new type (i.e. class) object.
- Classes may inherit (i.e. be a subclass of) other classes.
- The built-in class `object` is the root class for all other top-level user-defined and built-in classes.

Note: See the diagram in Figure 7-1 from Chapter 7.

The following Python 3 REPL session illustrates these concepts.

```python
>>> class PP:
...     pass
...
>>> class CC(PP):
...     pass
...
>>> PP
<class '__main__.PP'>
>>> type(PP)
<class 'type'>
>>> issubclass(P,object)
19
```
3.4.3 Class definition process

Now let’s examine how the Python 3 interpreter elaborates class definitions at runtime. Consider the class `MyClass` defined as follows:

```python
class MyClass(Parent):
    def __init__(self, id):
        self.id = id
    def hello(self):
        print(f'Hello from MyClass.hello, id = {self.id}')
```

This class definition has three components.

- Name: "MyClass"
- Base classes: (Parent,)
- Functions: ().__init__, hello

The interpreter takes the following steps during class definition.

1. It isolates the body of the class. (Note the multiline string below.)
2. It creates the class dictionary.

```python
clsdict = type.__prepare__("MyClass", (Parent,))
```

Method `type.__prepare__` is a class method on the root metaclass `type`. In the process of creating the new class object for a class, the interpreter calls the `__prepare__` method before it calls the `__new__` method on `type` [Ramalho 2015, pp. 701-3].

In addition to metaclass argument (i.e. `type`), the `__prepare__` class method takes two additional arguments:

- the name of the class being created (e.g. `'MyClass'` above)
- a tuple of the one or more base classes (e.g. `(Parent,)` above)

Method `__prepare__` returns a dictionary that can be subsequently passed to the `__new__` and `__init__` methods. This dictionary serves as the local namespace for the statements in the class body.

3. It executes the body dynamically (using `exec`) in the current global namespace (returned by the call `globals()`) and the local namespace defined by the class dictionary `clsdict`.

```python
exec(body, globals(), clsdict)
```

This step populates `clsdict`.

```python
>>> clsdict
{"__init__": <function __init__ at 0x10cc21ea0>,
 'hello': <function hello at 0x10d2b9bf8>}
```

4. It constructs the class object using its name, its base classes, and the dictionary populated in the previous step.

```python
>>> MyClass = type('MyClass', (Parent,), clsdict)
>>> MyClass
<class '__main__.MyClass'>
```

```python
>>> mc = MyClass('Conrad')
<_main__.MyClass object at 0x100f96c50>
>>> mc.myid
Conrad
>>> mc.hello()
Hello from MyClass.hello, myid = Conrad
```
The call `type('MyClass', (Parent,), clsdict)` constructs an instance of metaclass `type` with name `MyClass`, superclass `Parent`, and object dictionary `clsdict`. This is the class object for `MyClass`.

Note: The Python 3.6+ source code for the above creation of class `MyClass` is available in linked file `MyClass1.py`.

### 3.4.4 Changing the metaclass

A Python 3 class definition has a keyword parameter named `metaclass` whose default value is `type`. So the parent class `P` from the motivating example for this section is equivalent to the following.

```python
class P(metaclass=type):
    def __init__(self, name=None):
        self.name = name
    def process(self):
        return f'Process at parent P level'
```

This keyword parameter sets the class for creating the new type for the class. Although the default is `type`, we can change it to some other metaclass.

To define a new metaclass, we typically define a type that inherits from `type` and gives a new definition for one or both of the special methods `__new__` and `__init__`.

```python
class mytype(type):
    def __new__(cls, name, bases, clsdict):
        # possible preprocessing of arguments
        clsobj = super().__new__(cls, name, bases, clsdict)
        # possible postprocessing of object
        return clsobj
```

The special method `__new__` allocates memory, constructs a new instance (i.e. object), and then returns it. The interpreter passes this new instance to special method `__init__`, which initializes the new instance variables.

We do not normally override `__new__`, but in a metaclass we may want to do some additional work either before or after the basic construction processing.

A metaclass can access information about a class definition at the time the class is defined. It can inspect the data and, if needed, modify the data.

Given the above definition, we can use the new metaclass as follows:

```python
class P(metaclass=mytype):
    ...
```
3.4.5 Debugging using a metaclass

Now we have the tools we need to remove the code redundancy from the motivating example. We can introduce the metaclass shown in the example below.

```python
class debugmeta(type):
    def __new__(cls, clsname, bases, clsdict):
        clsobj = super().__new__(cls, clsname, bases, clsdict)  #1
        clsobj = debugmethods(clsobj)  #2
        return clsobj  #3
```

The approach above:

1. creates the class normally (using `super().__new__`)  
2. immediately wraps the class object with the class-level debug decorator `debugmethods` we developed previously  
3. then returns the wrapped class object

Given the above metaclass definition, we can apply it to the inheritance example as sketched below.

```python
class P(metaclass = debugmeta):
    ...
class C(P):
    ...
class D(P):
    ...
class G(C):
    ...
```

Note: The Python 3.6+ source code for the above version of the P class hierarchy is available in linked file `inherit3.py`.

Now consider a Python 3 REPL session using the above code with the custom metaclass.

```python
>>> from inherit3 import *
>>> type(P)
<class 'inherit3.debugmeta'>
>>> issubclass(P,object)
True
>>> type(C)
<class 'inherit3.debugmeta'>
>>> issubclass(C,P)
True
>>> type(G)
<class 'inherit3.debugmeta'>
```
>>> issubclass(G, C)
True
>>> issubclass(G, P)
True
>>> p1 = P()
P.__init__
>>> type(p1)
<class 'inherit3.P'>
>>> c1 = C()
P.__init__
>>> type(c1)
<class 'inherit3.C'>
>>> g1 = G()
P.__init__
>>> type(g1)
<class 'inherit3.C'>
>>> p1.process()
P.process
'Process at parent P level'
>>> c1.process()
C.process
P.process
'Process at child C level \n Process at parent P level'
>>> g1.process()
G.process
'Process at grandchild G level'

3.4.6 Why metaclasses?

As we have seen, we can transform a class in similar ways using either a class decorator or a metaclass.

Given that a class decorator is easier to set up and apply, when and why should we use a metaclass?

One advantage to metaclasses is that they can propagate down class hierarchies. Consider our motivating example again.

```python
class P(metaclass = debugmeta):
...
class C(P):  # metaclass = debugmeta
  ...
class D(P):  # metaclass = debugmeta
  ...
class G(C):  # metaclass = debugmeta
  ...
```
As we can see in the REPL session output in the previous subsection, use of the metaclass in parent class `P` is passed down automatically to all its descendants. No changes are needed to the descendant classes.

In some sense, the metaclass mutates the DNA of the parent class and that mutation is passed on to the children. In this example, debugging is applied across the entire hierarchy. The code is kept DRY.

### 3.5 Chapter Summary

In this case study, we used Python metaprogramming facilities to debug successively larger program units. But regardless of the level, the method mostly involved wrapping and rewriting the program units.

- We used function decorators to wrap and rewrite functions.
- We used class decorators to wrap and rewrite classes.
- We used metaclasses to wrap and rewrite class hierarchies.

So far, we have mostly used “classic” metaprogramming techniques that were available in Python 2 with only a few Python 3 features.

In the coming chapters, we use more advanced features of Python 3. (These chapters are planned but not yet drafted.)

### 3.6 Exercises

TODO
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