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Chapter 2: Intelligent Agents, part 2
Agent Architecture

- All agents have the same basic structure:
  - accept percepts from environment, generate actions
- Agent = Architecture + Program
- A Skeleton Agent:

  ```plaintext
  function Skeleton-Agent(percept) returns action
  
  static: memory, the agent's memory of the world

  memory ← Update-Memory(memory, percept)
  action ← Choose-Best-Action(memory)
  memory ← Update-Memory(memory, action)

  return action
  ```

- Observations:
  - agent may or may not build percept sequence in memory (depends on domain)
  - performance measure is not part of the agent; it is applied externally to judge the success of the agent
Table-driven architecture

- Why can't we just look up the answers?
  - The disadvantages of this architecture
    - infeasibility (excessive size)
    - lack of adaptiveness
  - How big would the table have to be?
  - Could the agent ever learn from its mistakes?
  - Where should the table come from in the first place?

```python
function Table-Driven-Agent(percept) returns action
static: percepts, a sequence, initially empty
table, a table indexed by percept sequences, initially fully specified

  append percept to the end of percepts
  action ← LookUp(percepts, table)

return action
```
Agent types

• **Simple reflex agents**
  • are based on condition-action rules and implemented with an appropriate production system. They are stateless devices which do not have memory of past world states

• **Model-based reflex agents (Reflex agent with state)**
  • have internal state which is used to keep track of past states of the world

• **Goal-based agents**
  • are agents which in addition to state information have a kind of goal information which describes desirable situations. Agents of this kind take future events into consideration

• **Utility-based agents**
  • use internal estimate for performance measure to compare future states
A Simple Reflex Agent

- We can summarize part of the table by formulating commonly occurring patterns as condition-action rules:
- Example:
  
  ```
  if car-in-front-brakes
  then initiate braking
  ```
- Agent works by finding a rule whose condition matches the current situation
  - rule-based systems
- But, this only works if the current percept is sufficient for making the correct decision

```python
function Simple-Reflex-Agent(percept) returns action
  static: rules, a set of condition-action rules
  state ← Interpret-Input(percept)
  rule ← Rule-Match(state, rules)
  action ← Rule-Action[rule]
  return action
```
Example: Reflex Vacuum Agent

```
function REFLEX-VACUUM-AGENT([location, status]) returns an action
    if status = Dirty then return Suck
    else if location = A then return Right
    else if location = B then return Left
```
Model-Based Reflex Agent

- Updating internal state requires two kinds of encoded knowledge
  - knowledge about how the world changes (independent of the agents’ actions)
  - knowledge about how the agents’ actions affect the world
- But, knowledge of the internal state is not always enough
  - how to choose among alternative decision paths (e.g., where should the car go at an intersection)?
  - Requires knowledge of the goal to be achieved

```plaintext
function Reflex-Agent-With-State(percept) returns action
static: rules, a set of condition-action rules
state, a description of the current world

state ← Update-State(state, percept)
rule ← Rule-Match(state, rules)
action ← Rule-Action[rule]
state ← Update-State(state, action)
return action
```
Goal-Based Agents

- **Reasoning about actions**
  - Reflex agents only act based on pre-computed knowledge (rules)
  - Goal-based (planning) agents act by reasoning about which actions achieve the goal
  - Less efficient, but more adaptive and flexible
Goal-Based Agents (continued)

- **Knowing current state is not always enough**
  - State allows agent to keep track of unseen parts of world
  - Agent must update state based on changes and its actions

- **Choose between potential states using goal**
  - Can change goal without need to “reprogram” rules, for example a new destination for the taxi-driving agent

- **Search and planning** (coming soon)
  - concerned with finding sequences of actions to satisfy a goal.
  - contrast with condition-action rules: involves consideration of future "what will happen if I do ..." (fundamental difference).
Utility-Based Agent

- Utility Function
  - A mapping of states onto real numbers
  - Allows rational decisions in two kinds of situations
    - Evaluation of the tradeoffs among conflicting goals
    - Evaluation of competing goals
Utility-Based Agents (continued)

• Preferred world state has higher utility for agent

• Examples:
  • Quicker, safer, more reliable ways to get to destination
  • Price comparison shopping
  • Bidding on items in an auction
  • Evaluating bids in an auction

• Utility function: $U(state)$ gives measure of “happiness”

• Commonly: search is goal-based and games are utility-based.
Shopping Agent Example

- **Navigating: Move around store; avoid obstacles**
  - Reflex agent: store map precompiled.
  - Goal-based agent: create an internal map, reason explicitly about it, use signs and adapt to changes (e.g., specials at the ends of aisles).

- **Gathering: Find and put into cart groceries it wants, need to induce objects from percepts**
  - Reflex agent: wander and grab items that look good.
  - Goal-based agent: shopping list.

- **Menu-planning: Generate shopping list, modify list if store is out of some item**
  - Goal-based agent: required; what happens when a needed item is not there? Achieve the goal some other way. e.g., no milk cartons: get canned milk or powdered milk.

- **Choosing among alternative brands**
  - utility-based agent: trade off quality for price.
Learning Agents

• **Four main components**
  - Performance element: the agent function
  - Learning element: responsible for making improvements by observing performance
  - Critic: gives feedback to learning element by measuring agent’s performance
  - Problem generator: suggest other possible courses of actions (exploration)
Search and Knowledge Representation

- **Goal-based and utility-based agents require representation of:**
  - states within the environment
  - actions and effects (effect of an action is transition from the current state to another state)
  - goals
  - utilities

- **Problems can often be formulated as a search problem**
  - to satisfy a goal, agent must find a sequence of actions (a path in the state-space graph) from the starting state to a goal state.

- **To do this efficiently, agents must have the ability to reason with their knowledge about the world and the problem domain**
  - which path to follow (which action to choose from) next
  - how to determine if a goal state is reached OR how decide if a satisfactory state has been reached.
Intelligent Agent Summary

• An **agent** perceives and acts in an environment. It has an architecture and is implemented by a program.

• An **ideal agent** always chooses the action which maximizes its expected performance, given the percept sequence received so far.

• An **autonomous agent** uses its own experience rather than built-in knowledge of the environment by the designer.

• An agent program maps from a percept to an action and updates its internal state.

• **Reflex agents** respond immediately to percepts.

• **Goal-based agents** act in order to achieve their goal(s).

• **Utility-based agents** maximize their own utility function.