CSci 450: Org. of Programming Languages Overloading and Type Classes

H. Conrad Cunningham

27 October 2017 (after class)

Contents

9	Ove	rloading and Type Classes	1
	9.1	Chapter Introduction	1
	9.2	Polymorphism in Haskell	1
	9.3	Why Overloading?	2
	9.4	Defining an Equality Class and Its Instances	3
	9.5	Type Class Laws	4
	9.6	Another Example Class Visible	5
	9.7	Class Extension (Inheritance)	5
	9.8	Multiple Constraints	7
	9.9	Built-In Haskell Classes	7
	9.10	Comparison to Other Languages	8
	9.11	Functor Class (TODO)	9
	9.12	Applicative Functor Class (TODO)	9
	9.13	Monad Class (TODO)	9
	9.14	Code	9
	9.15	Exercises (TODO)	9
	9.16	Acknowledgements	10
	9.17	References	10
	9.18	Terms and Concepts	10

Copyright (C) 2017, H. Conrad Cunningham

Advisory: The HTML version of this document may require use of a browser that supports the display of MathML. A good choice as of October 2017 is a recent version of Firefox from Mozilla.

TODO:

- Add chapter introduction
- Replace Visible?
- Add exercises
- Add references and concepts

9 Overloading and Type Classes

9.1 Chapter Introduction

TODO

9.2 Polymorphism in Haskell

We surveyed the different kinds of polymorphism in a previous module. Haskell implements two kinds:

1. *Parametric polymorphism* (usually just called "polymorphism" in functional languages), in which a single function definition is used for all types of arguments and results.

For example, consider the function length :: [a] -> Int, which returns the length of any finite list.

2. *Overloading*, in which the same name refers to different functions depending upon the type.

For example, consider the (+) function, which can add any supported number.

We looked at parametric polymorphism is a previous module. This section examines overloading.

9.3 Why Overloading?

Consider testing for membership of a Boolean list, where eqBool is an equalitytesting function for Boolean values.

```
elemBool :: Bool -> [Bool] -> Bool
elemBool x [] = False
elemBool x (y:ys) = eqBool x y || elemBool x ys
```

We can define eqBool using pattern matching as follows:

```
eqBool :: Bool -> Bool -> Bool
eqBool True False = False
eqBool False True = False
eqBool _ _ = True
```

The above is not very general. It works for booleans, but what if we want to handle lists of integers? or of characters? or lists of lists of tuples?

The aspects of **elemBool** we need to generalize are the type of the input list and the function that does the comparison for equality.

Thus let's consider testing for membership of a general list, with the equality function as a parameter.

```
elemGen :: (a -> a -> Bool) -> a -> [a] -> Bool
elemGen eqFun x [] = False
elemGen eqFun x (y:ys) = eqFun x y || elemGen eqFun x ys
```

This allows us to define elemBool in terms of elemGen as follows:

```
elemBool :: Bool -> [Bool] -> Bool
elemBool = elemGen eqBool
```

But really the function **elemGen** is *too general* for the intended function. Parameter **eqFun** could be any

a -> a -> Bool

function, not just an equality comparison.

Another problem is that equality is a meaningless idea for some data types. For example, comparing functions for equality is a computationally intractable problem.

The alternative to the above to make (==) (i.e., equality) an overloaded function. We can then restrict the polymorphism in elem's type signature to those types for which (==) is defined.

We introduce the concept of *type classes* to to be able to define the group of types for which an overloaded operator can apply.

We can then restrict the polymorphism of a type signature to a class by using a *context* constraint as $Eq a \Rightarrow$ is used below:

elem :: Eq a \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow [a] \Rightarrow Bool

We used context constraints in previous modules. Here we examine how to define the type classes and associate data types with those classes.

9.4 Defining an Equality Class and Its Instances

We can define class Eq to be the set of types for which we define the (==) (i.e., equality) operation.

For example, we might define the **class** as follows, giving the type signature(s) of the associated function(s) (also called the operations or *methods* of the class).

class Eq a where
 (==) :: a -> a -> Bool

A type is made a member or *instance* of a class by defining the signature function(s) for the type. For example, we might define Bool as an instance of Eq as follows:

```
instance Eq Bool where
True == True = True
False == False = True
== _ = False
```

Other types, such as the primitive types Int and Char, can also be defined as instances of the class. Comparison of primitive data types will often be implemented as primitive operations built into the computer hardware.

An instance declaration can also be declared with a context constraint, such as in the equality of lists below. We define equality of a list type in terms of equality of the element type.

Above, the == on the left sides of the equations is the operation being defined for lists. The x == y comparison on the right side is the previously defined operation on elements of the lists. The xs == ys on the right side is a recursive call of the equality operation for lists.

Within the class Eq, the (==) function is overloaded. The definition of (==) given for the types of its actual operands is used in evaluation.

In the Haskell standard prelude, the class definition for Eq includes both the equality and inequality functions. They may also have *default* definitions as follows:

```
class Eq a where
  (==), (/=) :: a -> a -> Bool
   -- Minimal complete definition: (==) or (/=)
   x /= y = not (x == y)
   x == y = not (x /= y)
```

In the case of class Eq, inequality is defined as the negation of equality and vice versa.

An instance declaration must *override* (i.e., redefine) at least one of these functions (in order to break the circular definition), but the other function may either be left with its default definition or overridden.

9.5 Type Class Laws

Of course, our expectation is that any operation (==) defined for an instance of Eq should implement an "equality" comparison. What does that mean?

In mathematics, we expect equality to be an *equivalence relation*. That is, equality comparisons should have the following properties for all values x, y,

and z in the type's set.

- Reflexivity: x == x is True.
- Symmetry: x == y if and only if y == x.
- Transitivity: if x == y and y == z, then x == z.

In addition, $x \neq y$ is expected to be equivalent to not (x = y) as defined in the default method definition.

Thus class Eq has these *type class laws* that every instance of the class should satisfy. The developer of the instance should ensure that the laws hold.

Note: As in many circumstances, the reality of computing may differ a bit from the mathematical ideal. Consider Reflexivity. If x is infinite, then it may be impossible to implement x == x. Also, this property might not hold for floating point number representations.

9.6 Another Example Class Visible

We can define another example class Visible, which might denote types whose values can be displayed as strings. Method toString represents an element of the type as a String. Method size yields the size of the argument as an Int.

```
class Visible a where
    toString :: a -> String
    size :: a -> Int
```

We can make various data types instances of this class:

```
instance Visible Char where
    toString ch = [ch]
    size _ = 1
instance Visible Bool where
    toString True = "True"
    toString False = "False"
    size _ = 1
instance Visible a => Visible [a] where
    toString = concat . map toString
    size = foldr (+) 1 . map size
```

What type class laws should hold for Visible?

There are no constraints on the conversion to strings. However, size must return an Int, so the "size" of the input argument must be finite and bounded by the largest value in type Int.

9.7 Class Extension (Inheritance)

Haskell supports the concept of *class extension*. That is, a new class can be defined that *inherits* all the operations of another class and adds additional operations.

For example, we can derive an ordering class **Ord** from the class **Eq**, perhaps as follows. (The definition in the Prelude may differ from the following.)

```
class Eq a => Ord a where
   (<), (<=), (>), (>=) :: a -> a -> Bool
                     :: a -> a -> a
   max, min
   -- Minimal complete definition: (<) or (>)
                          = x < y || x == y
   x <= y
   x < y
                          = y > x
                          = x > y || x == y
   x >= y
   x > y
                          = y < x
                         = x
           | x >= y
   max x y
               | otherwise = y
             | x <= y = x
   min x y
                | otherwise = y
```

With the above, we define Ord as a subclass of Eq; Eq is a superclass of Ord.

The above default method definitions are circular: < is defined in terms of > and vice versa. So a complete definition of **Ord** requires that at least one of these be given an appropriate definition for the type. Method == must, of course, also be defined appropriately for superclass Eq.

What type class laws should apply to instances of Ord?

Mathematically, we expect an instance of class **Ord** to implement a *total order* on its type set. That is, given the comparison operator (i.e., binary relation) <=, then the following properties hold *for all* values **x**, **y**, and **z** in the type's set.

- Reflexivity: x <= x is True.
- Antisymmetry: x <= y and y <= x, then x == y.
- Transitivity: if $x \le y$ and $y \le z$, then $x \le z$.
- Trichotomy (comparability, totality): x <= y or y <= x

Note: A relation that satisfied the first three properties above is a *partial order*. The fourth property requires that all values in the type's set can be compared by <=.

In addition to the above laws, we expect == (and =) to satisfy the Eq type class laws and <, >, >=, max, and min to satisfy the properties (i.e., default method definitions) given in the class Ord declaration.

As an example, consider the function isort' (insertion sort), defined in a previous module. It uses class Ord to constrain the list argument to ordered

data items.

9.8 Multiple Constraints

Haskell also permits classes to be constrained by two or more other classes.

Consider the problem of sorting a list and then displaying the results as a string:

vSort :: (Ord a,Visible a) => [a] -> String vSort = toString . isort'

To sort the elements, they need to be from an ordered type. To convert the results to a string, we need them to be from a Visible type.

The multiple contraints can be over two different parts of the signature of a function. Consider a program that displays the second components of tuples if the first component is equal to a given value:

```
vLookupFirst :: (Eq a,Visible b) => [(a,b)] -> a -> String
vLookupFirst xs x = toString (lookupFirst xs x)
lookupFirst :: Eq a => [ (a,b) ] -> a -> [b]
lookupFirst ws x = [ z | (y,z) <- ws, y == x ]</pre>
```

Multiple constraints can occur in an instance declaration, such as might be used in extending equality to cover pairs:

instance (Eq a, Eq b) => Eq (a,b) where (x,y) == (z,w) = x == z & & y == w

Multiple constraints can also occur in the definition of a class, as might be the case in definition of an ordered visible class.

```
class (Ord a,Visible a) => OrdVis a
vSort :: OrdVis a => [a] -> String
```

The case where a class extends two or more classes, as above for **OrdVis** is called *multiple inheritance*.

Instances of class $\tt OrdVis$ must satisfy the type class laws for classes $\tt Eq$ and <code>Visible</code>.

9.9 Built-In Haskell Classes

See Section 6.3 of the Haskell 2010 Language for discussion of the various classes in the Haskell Prelude library.

9.10 Comparison to Other Languages

Let's compare Haskell concept of type class with the class concept in familiar object-oriented languages such as Java and C++.

• In Haskell, a class is a collection of types. In Java and C++, class and type are similar concepts.

For example, Java's static type system treats the collection of objects defined with a **class** construct as a type. A **class** can be used to implement a type. However, it is possible to implement classes whose instances can behave in ways outside the discipline of the type.

- Haskell classes are similar in concept to Java and C++ abstract classes except that Haskell classes have no data fields. (There is no multiple inheritance from classes in Java, of course.)
- Haskell classes are similar in concept to Java interfaces. Haskell classes can give default method definitions, a feature that was only added in Java 8 and beyond.
- Instances of Haskell classes are types, not objects. They are somewhat like concrete Java or C++ classes that extend abstract classes or concrete Java classes that implement Java interfaces.
- Haskell separates the definition of a type from the definition of the methods associated with that type. A class in Java or C++ usually defines both a data structure (the member variables) and the functions associated with the structure (the methods). In Haskell, these definitions are separated.
- The methods defined by a Haskell class correspond to the instance methods in Java or virtual functions in a C++ class. Each instance of a class provides its own definition for each method; class defaults correspond to default definitions for a virtual function in the base class. Of course, Haskell class instances do not have implicit receiver object or mutable data fields.
- Methods of Haskell classes are bound statically at compile time, not dynamically bound at runtime as in Java.

- C++ and Java attach identifying information to the runtime representation of an object. In Haskell, such information is attached logically instead of physically to values through the type system.
- Haskell does not support the C++ overloading style in which functions with different types share a common name.
- The type of a Haskell object cannot be implicitly coerced; there is no universal base class such as Java's Object which values can be projected into or out of.
- There is no access control (such as public or private class constituents) built into the Haskell class system. Instead, the module system must be used to hide or reveal components of a class. (In that sense, it is similar to the object-oriented languages Component Pascal and to the systems programming language Rust.)

Type classes first appeared in Haskell, but similar concepts have been implemented in more recently designed languages.

- The imperative systems programming language Rust supports traits, a limited form of type classes.
- The object-functional hybrid language Scala has implicit classes and parameters, which enable a type enrichment programming idiom similar to type classes.
- The functional language PureScript supports Haskell-like type classes.
- The dependently typed functional language Idris supports interfaces, which are, in some ways, a generalization of Haskell's type classes.
- Functional JavaScript libraries such as Ramda have type class-like fatures

9.11 Functor Class (TODO)

TODO

9.12 Applicative Functor Class (TODO)

TODO?

9.13 Monad Class (TODO)

TODO?

9.14 Code

The Haskell code for this chapter is file TypeClassMod.hs.

9.15 Exercises (TODO)

TODO

9.16 Acknowledgements

In Spring 2017 I adapted these lecture notes from my previous lecture notes on this topic. I based the previous notes, in part, on the presentations in:

- Chapter 12 of the book *Haskell: The Craft of Functional Programming* (Second Edition) by Simon Thompson (Addison Wesley, 1999).
- Section 5 of *A Gentle Introduction to Haskell Version 98* by Paul Hudak, John Peterson, and Joseph Fasel (Yale University, September 1999).

For the discussion of Haskell type class laws, I read discussions of the laws for Haskell type classes on StackOverflow, Reddit, and Typeclassopedia.

I also reviewed the mathematical definitions of equality, equivalence relations, and total orders on such sites as Wolfram MathWorld and Wikipedia.

I continue to develop these notes in Summer and Fall 2017.

I maintain these notes as text in Pandoc's dialect of Markdown using embedded LaTeX markup for the mathematical formulas and then translate the notes to HTML, PDF, and other forms as needed. The HTML version of this document may require use of a browser that supports the display of MathML.

9.17 References

TODO complete this

- [Bird-Wadler 1998] Richard Bird and Philip Wadler. Introduction to Functional Programming, Second Edition, Addison Wesley, 1998. [First Edition, 1988]
- [Chiusano-Bjarnason 2015]] Paul Chiusano and Runar Bjarnason, Functional Programming in Scala, Manning, 2015.
- [Cunningham 2014] H. Conrad Cunningham. Notes on Functional Programming with Haskell, 1994-2014.
- [Thompson 2011] Simon Thompon. Haskell: The Craft of Programming, Third Edition, Pearson, 2011.

9.18 Terms and Concepts

TODO complete this

Polymorphism in Haskell (parametric polymorphism, overloading); Haskell type system concepts (type classes, overloading, instances, methods, default definitions, context constraints, class extension, inheritance, subclass, superclass, overriding, multiple inheritance, class laws) versus related Java/C++ type system concepts (abstract and concrete classes, objects, inheritance, interfaces); mathematical concepts (equivalence relation, reflexivity, symmetry, antisymmetry, transitivity, trichotomy, total and partial orders)/